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The Middle East is at an unprecedented crossroads between the established Euro-centric system and the emerging Asian powerhouses like India and China. Their economies, policies and social structures are a halfway house between these two dominant groups and are an important case study to examine in order to highlight future prospects and problems of the global system. The Middle East is an important missing piece in a huge global puzzle. This book takes a significant step towards understanding that puzzle and offers solutions for how to fully integrate this missing jigsaw piece into the global economic system.

Analytical Peace Economics: the illusion of war for peace focuses on three critical issues in the Middle East that dominate discussions about their place in the global political economy: conflict, oil and (regional) development. Examining economic and social development in juxtaposition with conflict and peace, this book adapts, develops and applies historical, geographical, economic and psychological methods, creating a nuanced approach to the collective understanding of the economic and social dynamics in the region. By developing theoretical models and analysing empirical research, this book offers an economic analysis of the attempt to find peace through war and seeks to find alternative solutions.

This book will be of interest to researchers, policymakers and doctoral students of economics, finance and social sciences as well as advanced undergraduate students of peace economics and development studies.
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Foreword

The most important article I read as an undergraduate in the early 1950s was KW Rothschild's classic in the Economic Journal, 'Price theory and oligopoly.' In it, he argued that Clausewitz's principles of war contained the most appropriate structure within which to study the market behaviour of oligopolists. His arguments have had a major and sustained influence on my research ever after.

Now Partha Gangopadhyay and Nasser Elkanj, in this remarkable original book, Analytical Peace Economics: the Illusion of War for Peace, have gone in exactly the opposite direction to Rothschild. They use the technical advances on the frontier of economics and management science to illuminate the causes and consequences of conflict. Using a variety of approaches and much empirical and experimental work, Gangopadhyay and Elkanj analyse conflicts in the Middle East, the 'war on terror', the worldwide arms race, and the differential impacts of conflict on developing and developed nations, and on regions within them both.

The analysis is an enlightening combination of deep economic intuition, common sense, and high-powered economic and econometric analysis. The authors are careful to point out limitations of the analysis and the findings, and to suggest topics and areas for further research. Nevertheless, the book is a major step forward in our understanding of some of the most important and frightening issues facing the modern world, illustrating the strengths of clear thinking and evidence-based findings in modern economic analysis.

G.C. Harcourt,
School of Economics, UNSW Australia and the former President of Jesus College, University of Cambridge, 1988-1989 and 1990-1992
Peace is more than the absence of violence. In truly peaceful societies, there are high levels of what I refer to as 'Positive Peace', this is the attitudes, institutions and structures that promote resilience in society, enabling nations to overcome adversity and resolve internal economic, cultural, and political conflict through peaceful means. Compare the way in which two European countries have dealt with their economic crises over the past few years to demonstrate how a country with strong Positive Peace manages; Iceland was much more resilient than Greece, which is lagging behind in Positive Peace. Or in the case of natural disasters, compare the resilience of Japan in the face of the 2011 tsunami, to that of Haiti after the 2010 earthquake.

What creates peaceful societies also creates the optimum environment for many other conditions considered beneficial: strong business environments, superior ecological management, better gender rights.

Positive Peace also builds the capacity for resilience and the possibility and incentives for non-violent alternatives to conflict resolution. Stronger Positive Peace reduces the level of violence within a society in two ways. First, it removes the sources of grievances that could generate violence, and second it means that legitimate and effective non-violent resolution avenues are on offer. A decrease in violence will also lead to a virtuous cycle. Less is spent on violence containment, allowing more funds to be invested in other growth expanding opportunities, thereby helping to further improve peace.

Personally, I find the study of peace most rewarding and have been surprised by how little we actually know about peace. That is why the ambitious research contained within this book is a vital contribution to our understanding of the most crucial issue of our time. I commend the inquiry and determination of Gangopadhyay and Elkanj in seeking to find an answer to one of humanity's most enduring and consequential of questions: What is the solution to war?

Steve Killelea, Executive Chairman and Founder, Institute for Economics and Peace, Sydney, Australia
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