An examination of forest cover change at Angkor, Cambodia, using satellite imagery, interviews and interpretation of historical events

Nathan A. Wales

School of Geography, Earth Science & Environment, Faculty of Science, Technology & Environment The University of the South Pacific, Laucala Campus, Suva, Fiji

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Southeast Asia
Multiple method
Image classification
Forest
Resource governance
Livelihood

ABSTRACT

In the three decades since the mid-1970s through to the early 2000s forest cover at the Angkor World Heritage Site, Cambodia has not progressed linearly but in response to different historical events. This research uses multiple methods in comparing satellite image classification with key informant interviews and other forms of data at the landscape scale and at case studies to provide an historical perspective on forest cover change. The earliest date corresponds to the beginning of the civil war in Cambodia through to a time when a number of events had contributed to significant change in forest pattern and extent at Angkor. The change observed is a result of regulatory controls on land use, ongoing subsistence resource use activities and other events and processes, and more broadly reflects a country in transition and a history of conflict relating to resource governance. The research demonstrates that multiple methods combining medium resolution satellite imagery with interview data and processes detectable at specific time periods can be used to understand patterns of forest change and establish links to processes on the ground.

1. Introduction

There is no scarcity of research examining the relationship between patterns of land use and land cover (LULC) and influencing processes (Bürgi et al., 2004; Cassidy et al., 2010; Kong et al., 2019; Plieninger et al., 2015; Seto et al., 2002). However, the complexity of such relationships, including the variability observed at different spatial and temporal scales is often less well understood. With this in mind, this research uses multiple methods to untangle some of these relationships, more specifically the interaction between forest cover, livelihoods and regulatory controls, while presenting an historical perspective on the spatial pattern and extent of forest cover change at the Angkor World Heritage Site (“Angkor”), Cambodia (Fig. 1). Classified Landsat satellite imagery and interview data is used to examine forest cover change and events and practices linked to observed change at local scales.

As a mapping exercise this research brings together data from a number of sources and time periods in unravelling landscape and local-scale processes of forest cover change at Angkor. The premise of Cambodia as a country in transition is presented followed by a review of existing research quantifying the relationship between remote sensing derived forest-change and influencing processes. Contextualisation of the study site is provided, including a brief history of land management, land use and forest survey and mapping at Angkor. The link between historical changes in forest cover and the events and practices associated with these changes are then considered by examining case studies. Lastly, reflections on the links between historical events and forest cover change, and the contribution of this research to the existing body of knowledge are provided in the conclusion.

2. Background

In Cambodia, forests provide essential livelihood, economic and ecological opportunities, and play an important role in a political and economic landscape that is often unregulated and unaccountable (Le Billon, 2000). Since the mid-1970s forest cover at Angkor has changed as a result of events such as instability caused by civil war, increased access to forest resources by the Vietnamese-sponsored government in the 1970s and 1980s and by the Cambodian military, World Heritage management regulation, national land and forest laws, and the direct and indirect impacts of tourism. For many, Cambodian forests represent places of conflict, have provided a refuge during times of conflict and have been important for financing conflict (Le Billon, 1999; 2001; 2002). Forests played a part in fuelling the Khmer Rouge1 conflict, and during the 1990s forests nearby and north-west of Angkor that were
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1 The extremist reform party, the ‘Khmer Rouge’, seized power in Cambodia in 1975.
controlled by the Khmer Rouge were harvested, with the sale of most of this as timber to Thailand (Kiernan, 2007). Although Cambodia experienced improved economic and political opportunities in the 1990s forest area declined significantly over this period, and of the income generated from forests only small amounts were received by the public treasury and the people of Cambodia (Le Billon, 2002).

Inadequate measures for forest protection and management throughout Cambodia has raised questions about resource governance in the country given that forest management is a central item of good governance for the international community (Le Billon, 2002; Milne & Mahanty, 2015). Political and economic transformation and instability, and the link between this, resource governance and forest change has been discussed by political ecologists such as Milne & Mahanty (2015) and Le Billon (1999, 2000). Gururani and Vandergeest (2014) describe this transformation or changing landscapes as new frontiers in a post-conflict environment. Forests in Cambodia were a key part of the transition from war to peace, acting as a politicized resource that contributed to the construction of political power which was often outside formal governance (Le Billon, 1999; 2000). With the changing relationship between nature and society in contemporary Cambodia (Milne & Mahanty, 2015) indeed one of the key tenets of the current research is that forest cover change in Cambodia can only be understood by appreciating the social and environmental dimensions of change, one which Milne & Mahanty (2015) accurately describe as dynamic, interlinked, multi-scalar and power laden.

A cornerstone of the current study is an understanding of forest cover change at locations containing differing and often unclear land use regulation and management over long time periods and at variable spatial scales. In light of this, a review of the current state of research quantifying the relationship between remote sensing derived forest-change and influencing processes is presented.

A variety of approaches to understanding landscape interactions using remote sensing have been adopted, many of which are unique to the site under study. With an archive of data supporting long temporal studies, classification of Landsat imagery in LULC studies has for many years yielded good results (Cassidy et al., 2013; Fox & Vogler, 2005; Kong et al., 2019; Malila et al., 1984; Negassa et al., 2020). Cross-sensor change can improve the temporality of the research, as demonstrated by Fox and Vogler (2005), Kong et al. (2019) and Yismaw et al. (2014). Research by Haque and Basak (2017), Kong et al. (2019), Negassa et al. (2020) and Yismaw et al. (2014) demonstrates that the inclusion of socio-economic data, such as decision-making related to forest management can improve understanding of the changes identified from the remote sensing. In addition, an understanding of the processes influencing forest cover change cross-boundary and in protected area management using remote sensing are discussed by Fox and Vogler (2005) and Negassa et al. (2020).

With a breadth of approaches such as multi-sensor and multi-temporal remote sensing classification, and the inclusion of socio-economic survey data analysis, the value of combining multiple methods is demonstrated. However, the choice of methods is dependent upon the study site setting. Analysis at varying scales of geography to identify differences within the study site is emphasised in the current research, differences which can be explained by the timing of historical events such as the introduction of laws and regulations across different jurisdictional scales. And as discussed by Fox and Vogler (2005) and Negassa et al. (2020), being in a protected area requires understanding processes influencing cross-boundary (both physical and administrative) spatial and temporal change.

3. Study site context

Angkor is located in the north-west of Cambodia in Siem Reap province (Fig. 1). For this study a twenty five year period from the mid-1970s to early 2000s is examined. This period was chosen because it represents a time of rapid socio-economic change which altered forests quantifying the relationship between remote sensing derived forest-change and influencing processes is presented.

Fig. 1. Map of the Angkor study site including ZEMP Zones 1, 2 and 5 and Phnom Kulen National Park.
and traditional forest management practices at Angkor: the earliest date corresponds to the beginning of the civil war in Cambodia through to a time when a number of events had contributed to significant change in forest cover (Table 1). Observed change coincides with the timing of regulatory controls on land use and other events and processes.

3.1. Forest mapping at Angkor

At the latest date of the current research there was scarce published forest mapping research at Angkor, with few involving field surveys. Dy Phon’s (2000) comprehensive study of the forest plants of Cambodia included extensive field research at Angkor, Rollet (1972) documented the forest types of Cambodia, while Legris and Blasco (1972) describe the influence of human activities on the forests of Cambodia. Forest surveys were conducted on the plateau of Phnom Kulen by Eav (1970) and forest mapping by Boullé (1979) documents the most comprehensive survey of forest at Angkor. Ashwell and Fitzwilliams (1993) describe the vegetation ecology of Angkor, and Gaughan et al. (2009) used multi-temporal Landsat imagery to map forest conversion and quantify land cover change near Angkor. More recently Singh et al. (2015) mapped tree species at Angkor and Davies et al. (2016) mapped vegetation change near Angkor, both studies using image classification techniques.

3.2. Land management at Angkor

Management of Angkor is overseen by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) and the Authority for the Protection and Management of Angkor and the Region of Siem Reap (APSARA) has directly managed the site since its 1992 World Heritage listing. The requirement for monitoring and management was an important condition of listing (Lemaistre & Cavalier, 2002; Wager, 1995). Angkor contains five zones of management (Fig. 1).

Providing different levels of protection, these zones were established with the formulation of a Zoning and Environmental Management Plan (ZEMP) in 1994, with land use controls applied to each zone (Royal Government of Cambodia, 1994). Zones 1 and 2 were granted the highest level of protection while Zone 5, significantly larger in area, includes Phnom Kulen National Park (“Phnom Kulen”) to the north-east and the Angkor plain between it and the central temple complex (UNESCO, 1994). The nature of Zones 3 and 4, making up only a small area and located predominantly along linear drainage channels, meant they could not be accurately examined in terms of forest area and as such were excluded from this study.

3.3. Forests, livelihoods and land use history

Angkor is a geographical palimpsest reflecting a highly modified landscape, and as a testament to the ongoing interaction of local people with the landscape it provides insight on the influence of past human settlement. Extensive landscape modification including deforestation occurred during the Angkorian period of the Khmer Empire (9th-15th century) (Evans et al., 2007), with lowland forests replaced by rice growing (Hagesteijn, 1987). During the 1970s and early 1980s forest vegetation near the central temple complex established largely unhindered (Freeman, 2004, Fig. 1). After 1980, and coinciding with the end of the Khmer Rouge era, forest flora within the central temple complex of Angkor was generally in poor condition (Ashwell & Fitzwilliams, 1993), and at the time of Angkor’s 1992 listing this was the only location where dense evergreen and semi-evergreen forest was preserved (UNESCO, 1994). Swidden agriculture, typically non-permanent, was the main form of forest encroachment on the plateau of Phnom Kulen (Ashwell & Fitzwilliams, 1993).

Despite centuries of ongoing dependence by local people on forests for livelihoods (Giteau (1976; Legris & Blasco, 1972), exclusionary policies associated with Angkor’s listing resulted in conflict over available forest resources as forest access became increasingly regulated (Le Billon, 2000). Patches of secondary forest and shrubland vegetation, which commonly occur as islands surrounded by rice fields at Angkor continued to be used by local people for firewood and other non-timber forest products (NTFPs) (Ashwell & Fitzwilliams, 1993). At the latest date of the current research NTFPs made up a significant portion of local forest resources in Cambodia (ITTO, 2005), with approximately 92% of the rural population dependent on forest-derived fuelwood to meet daily energy needs (Kim Phat et al., 2001). Despite this resource dependence local livelihoods became increasingly threatened with the progression of what Peluso and Lund (2011) describe as ‘new frontiers of land control’, with power rescinded from those most vulnerable who rely on subsistence activities to support livelihoods. The 1992 listing challenged the Cambodian Government’s ability to ensure fair and equitable use and allocation of land at Angkor given the competing interests of tourism and development, and the already complex national land administration system was compounded by the World Heritage listing (Gillespie, 2009), resulting in considerable modification to the laws regulating and controlling land title and use, in addition to the creation of new laws.

4. Key historical events

This section summarises the historical events that have contributed to forest cover change at Angkor from the mid-1970s through the early 2000s. These events have occurred during the period of Cambodia’s transition from war to peace, a time associated with instability and resource governance challenges, as discussed by Milne & Mahanty (2015) and Le Billon (1999, 2000). The events that have had the greatest impact on the forests of Angkor, during the timeframe of the current research, include the 1992 World Heritage listing and management plan (ZEMP) that followed, and the introduction of controls on forest clearing by the management authority APSARA in the year 2000 (Table 1). In addition, the Khmer Rouge occupation from the mid-1970s to early 1980s resulted in forest fragmentation as new strategies for land use and ownership were implemented (Kiernan, 2007). From the late 1970s to late 1980s the Vietnamese-sponsored government, the People’s Republic of Kampuchea (PRK) impacted forests near Angkor (Hughes, 2009). Largely unregulated access to forest land at Angkor by the Cambodian military since the 1970s has also impacted upon forest cover and villagers’ access to these resources (Le Billon, 2000, 2002), as have more recent regulations including the Land Law (Royal Government of Cambodia, 2001) and Law on Forestry (Royal Government of Cambodia, 2002).

Some of the events described in Table 1 fit neatly into the time periods of this research while others are less able to be tied to specific time periods.
It is acknowledged that when interpreting forest change from the classified imagery, the delayed response (or lag time) to such events, as observable in the landscape will vary. While the focus of this research is on those “key events” listed in Table 1, the “other events” are acknowledged as these would have impacted on forest cover, perhaps more indirectly and in a way that is difficult to quantify from the methods used in this research.

5. Methods

This study uses NASA Landsat images acquired from the Landsat Multispectral Scanner System (MSS), Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) and Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM+) satellite sensors (Table 2). Spatial data differentiating forest from non-forest was created from the Landsat images for the years 1976, 1990, 1995 and 2002 using maximum likelihood supervised image classification (Foody & Arora, 1996; Kahya et al., 2008; Richards & Jia, 2013) (Table 2; Fig. 2). All images were single date, cloud-free and near-anniversary to ensure seasonal differences did not impact on accurate comparability of the classified imagery. Forest/non-forest area maps and statistics were calculated across the full extent of the four images (Fig. 2) and at three seasonal periods. It is acknowledged that temporally aligning dates of field survey with image dates, survey sites were not used in the classifications where time and resource constraints did not allow for classification accuracy of the four images (Foody, 2002). The blue band (band 1) of the TM and ETM+ images was excluded as there is no corresponding wavelength in the MSS image and given the problem of atmospheric scattering in the shorter wavelengths which typically adds artificial brightness (Hadjimitis et al., 2010). Atmospheric correction, normally done to reduce differences caused by atmospheric or sensor variation between images was not necessary given the blue band was excluded, the images were cloud-free and because the current research involves classifying multiple images individually then comparing them to identify change (Foody et al., 1996 and Song et al., 2001). The image classification outputs are presented in the discussion (Fig. 2) and at case studies.

An assessment of accuracy was completed to validate the classified images (Foody, 2002). Forest survey points were partitioned into training (mapping) and verification (reference) datasets. All reference points that were not used in the classification were overlaid with each classification in a GIS to examine the frequency at which each point coincided with the area of forest defined in each classification. All the field forest surveys points 29 were used to assess the accuracy of the classified images. While the number of points used in accuracy testing was smaller than the recommended “rule of thumb” of 50 per class (Congalton, 1991; Lillesand et al., 2015) it was felt to be justified given the classification accuracies achieved; the within-class variation was well accounted for because the classification and testing comprised a single class (forest); and given that a similar number of points has been used successfully by authors such as Rogan et al. (2002) who used 30 points per class to test Landsat image classifications. In addition, it was expected that based on established research the 400 random points generated for field survey would deliver an adequate number of forest survey points, however as few as one in six (17.5%) of these points were expected that based on established research the 400 random points generated for field survey would deliver an adequate number of forest survey points, however as few as one in six (17.5%) of these points were assessed as forest. Time and resource constraints did not allow for further field data collection. Classification accuracy of the four images was 86.2% (1976), 80.6% (1990), 83.3% (1995) and 90.2% (2002), all well accounted for because the classification and testing comprised a single class (forest); and given that a similar number of points has been used successfully by authors such as Rogan et al. (2002) who used 30 points per class to test Landsat image classifications. In addition, it was expected that based on established research the 400 random points generated for field survey would deliver an adequate number of forest survey points, however as few as one in six (17.5%) of these points were assessed as forest.

Prior to classification all images were overlaid and co-registered to ensure that each shared the same spatial extent. This was not done for the Landsat MSS (1976) image due to the different spatial resolution of the image compared with the TM and ETM+ images. All images were classified using only those bands common to each image (Table 2). Bands 1–3 (green, red and near-infrared respectively) of the Landsat MSS (1976) image were used as they are the most closely aligned in wavelength to bands 2–4 of the Landsat TM (1995) and Landsat (ETM+) 2002 images (Table 2). The blue band (band 1) of the TM and ETM+ images was excluded as there is no corresponding wavelength in the MSS image and given the problem of atmospheric scattering in the shorter wavelengths which typically adds artificial brightness (Hadjimitis et al., 2010). Atmospheric correction, normally done to reduce differences caused by atmospheric or sensor variation between images was not necessary given the blue band was excluded, the images were cloud-free and because the current research involves classifying multiple images individually then comparing them to identify change (Foody et al., 1996 and Song et al., 2001). The image classification outputs are presented in the discussion (Fig. 2) and at case studies.

The highly fragmented nature of forest across the study site, including forest areas often less than 0.1 ha proved a challenge to this research. In addition, small errors in image registration and image resolution may have compromised the results of the accuracy assessment. Existing research describing the spectral patterns of known substrates (Curran, 1985; Lillesand et al., 2015) and visual inspection of
spatially co-incident areas of forest/non-forest from a 10 m resolution 2004 SPOT 5 image provided a means to validate the classified imagery.

5.3. Interviews

Combining observations from interviews with quantitative image classification data is useful in geography research emphasising the relationship between physical and human processes (Cope & Elwood, 2009; Fox et al., 2003; Jiang, 2003; Philip, 1998). Interviews with 18 key informants took place in Cambodia between July 2007 and June 2009. Identifying informants who were well versed in the research subject under investigation maximised the opportunity for gathering relevant information (Hay, 2005). Information garnered from interviews was used to gain a clearer understanding of the influence of historical events on the spatio-temporal patterns of forest cover. Interviews also gave insight into the connection between forests and subsistence livelihoods of local people. Broadly, the focus of questioning during interviews was on forest land use history, current land use strategies of local people living at the site, and forest management policy and regulations affecting Angkor. Information generated from the key informant interviews is discussed in light of remote sensing observations at case studies in the discussion.

Key informants interviewed included representatives from the Angkor management authority APSARA, Cambodian Ministry of Environment (MoE), Cambodian Forestry Administration (FA), United Nations Educational, Scientific Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), Regional Community Forestry Training Centre Bangkok (RECOFTC), Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO), International Union for Conservation of Nature IUCN), Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS), Community Based Natural Resource Management Learning Institute (CBNRLI), the Angkor Participatory Development Organisation (APDO) and regional ecologists. Interview questions and detailed responses are available from the author on request. For privacy reasons the names of individual informants has not been included. Maps were not presented to informants during interviews because as a representation of reality features on maps can often be misleading (Verplanke et al., 2016).

6. Results and discussion

This section begins by summarising some general observations before moving to more detailed case studies.

Fig. 2. Forest classifications and ZEMP Zones 1 and 2 - black areas indicate forest (a) Landsat MSS 1976 (b) Landsat TM 1990 (c) Landsat TM 1995 (d) Landsat ETM+ 2002.
6.1. General observations

Forest cover at Angkor has varied both temporally and spatially, with historic events having acted upon the landscape differently. An examination of the forest/non-forest areas provides insight into the spatio-temporal patterns of forest cover in light of historic events (Table 3). While there was an overall decline in forest area between 1976 and 2002, there was also localised variation in the area and pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land cover type</th>
<th>Land cover type</th>
<th>Land cover type</th>
<th>Land cover type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest 102,912 60</td>
<td>Non-forest 67,992 40</td>
<td>Forest 50,070 29</td>
<td>Non-forest 41,618 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69,165 41</td>
<td>101,502 59</td>
<td>120,597 71</td>
<td>129,050 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest 5342 92</td>
<td>Non-forest 586 8</td>
<td>Forest 5539 80</td>
<td>Non-forest 5709 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5853 84</td>
<td>1105 16</td>
<td>1419 20</td>
<td>1248 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest 4193 88</td>
<td>Non-forest 564 12</td>
<td>Forest 3008 63</td>
<td>Non-forest 1517 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3565 75</td>
<td>1211 25</td>
<td>3008 63</td>
<td>1517 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest 3016 62</td>
<td>Non-forest 1855 38</td>
<td>Forest 449 9</td>
<td>Non-forest 962 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016 21</td>
<td>3855 79</td>
<td>4423 91</td>
<td>3909 80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All tables by the author.
of forest at each date. Additionally, the extent of forest conversion on the plateau of Phnom Kulen to the north-east of the study site, across all time periods, was significantly less than on the Angkor plain, nearer the centre of each image (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). This observation is in-part due to the nature of swidden agriculture, common on the plateau and frequently not well detected using coarse resolution Landsat imagery. Much of the area classified as non-forest in 1976, notably on the Angkor plain and on the slopes immediately south-east of Phnom Kulen, likely indicates areas cleared for agriculture prior to that date. The extent and pattern of forest to non-forest for each time period is shown in Fig. 2.

The period from 1990 through to 2002 corresponds with a period in which regulatory changes affecting Angkor had considerable impact on forest cover. Distinct areas of forest cover change between these two dates are evident on the foothills and higher slopes in the north and north-east of the study site (Fig. 2). The extent of forest cover in the less regulated area leading to the foothills (from the centre of each image in Fig. 2) and plateau of Phnom Kulen was relatively stable between 1990 and 1995. This is in contrast to the extensive decline in forest cover at the same location between 1995 and 2002, which appear as large fragmented areas associated with permanent forest conversion, and perhaps a reflection of the ‘new frontiers of land control’ in a post-conflict environment, as described by Chann (2009), Gururan and Vandergeest (2014), Milne and Mahanty (2015) and Peluso and Lund (2011). Although some areas of the Angkor plain including locations near the foothills of Phnom Kulen experienced forest loss between 1990 and 1995, large fragmented patches remained (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). By 2002 large areas of the landscape on the Angkor plain had been converted to non-forest land.

Between 1976 and 2002 virtually all forest had disappeared from the area immediately surrounding the smaller northern sector of Zone 1 (Fig. 2). However, the most considerable decline in forest at this location occurred between 1976 and 1990, the period prior to introduction of the ZEMP. Observation from the literature (Hughes, 2009) and key informant interviews suggests that forest decline in this area was likely due to the activities of the Khmer Rouge and the Vietnamese sponsored Cambodia government throughout the 1980s. The area experienced some further loss of forest between 1990 and 2002; this was despite the regulations introduced following implementation of the ZEMP.

6.2. Case studies

Despite an overall decrease in the area of forest being observed across the entire study site, the pattern of forest change and proportion of forest compared to non-forest varies when examined at case studies (Fig. 3; Table 3). This is due in-part to the influence of the different management zones. For example, case study 3 (Zone 1) shows an increase in the area of forest between 1995 and 2002 while case study 2 (Zone 5) shows a notable decrease in the area of forest for the same period (Table 3).

6.2.1. Case study 1: Phnom Kulen

Phnom Kulen, located on the plateau north-east of the central temple complex is unique as it is designated as a Cambodian National Park yet also comes under World Heritage management (Fig. 1; Fig. 3). The site contains a small residential population and large area of forest when compared with the other case studies. It is also part of an important watershed supplying water for agriculture before draining into the Tonlé Sap (Kummu, 2009). According to one key informant, insecure management means controls on forest access and use are less stringently enforced at this location compared to elsewhere at Angkor, due in-part to its relative isolation, and the lack of transparency of existing management strategies. In the 1990s this ‘insecurity’ characterising forested areas in Siem Reap province according to Le Billon (1999) supported armed and often violent groups with logging interests who relied on the sale of forest timber to support their survival.

General stability in the area of forest cover was observed in Phnom Kulen between 1990 and 2002 (Table 3b). This in-part reflects the restrictions on forest access imposed on villagers and other forest users with the introduction of the ZEMP and National Park designation during this time. In addition, some areas designated as community forests located here offered additional forest protections (Hubbard, 2002; Wager, 1995). Between 1976 and 2002 the area of forest at this case study decreased by approximately 10%, with the majority of this decrease between 1976 and 1990 (Fig. 4; Table 3b). More notable then the change in area of forest was change in the pattern of forest cover within the case study, with increasing amounts of forest fragmentation occurring (Fig. 4). Field observations and multiple key informants indicated that despite long periods of ongoing human-induced disturbance, an increase in the frequency and intensity of this disturbance since the late 1980s and through the 1990s has resulted in a decline in the quality and composition of remaining forests at the site. While the reason for this is unclear, it does coincide with the period when military forces were issued forestry concessions within Phnom Kulen by the Cambodian Government (Le Billon, 1999). An associated increase in patchwork larger scale logging may help to explain the fragmented pattern observed.

As confirmed from field observations forest in this area corresponds with what Boullet, 1975 describes as scattered areas of low open forest, exposed sandstone and grasslands (Fig. 5).

6.2.2. Case study 2: foothills

Case study 2, located at the foothills of Phnom Kulen in ZEMP Zone 5 (Fig. 3) showed a 13% decline in the area of forest between 1976 and 1990, the period shortly before World Heritage listing. This compares to an 8% decline during the same period in case study 1 (Table 3). This case study experienced the largest amount of forest loss, when compared with the other case studies. The most noteworthy loss occurred after 1995, coinciding with the introduction of the World Heritage zoning, through to 2002 (Fig. 6). Between 1976 and 2002 the area of forest at this case study decreased by more than 60%, six times that which occurred in case study 1 for the same period (Table 3). By 2002 most of the forest in this case study, and in the neighbouring area on the southern and western foothills of Phnom Kulen, had been converted to other land uses. The decline in forest cover at this location was in-part associated with a shift in the concentration of forest resource use away from the increasingly regulated Zones 1 and 2, towards the less regulated Zone 5, within which this case study lies. This observation was well supported by those interview respondents who have engaged with this landscape over a long period of time, and who recognise the regulations associated with the ZEMP zoning to be a contributor to forest loss at locations further afield. Research by Kintz et al. (2006) in a protected area in the Andes similarly demonstrated that forest located inside a National Park was well maintained while forests located outside of park boundaries slowly became degraded.

The marked decline in the area of forest between 1990 and 2002, including an approximate 50% reduction between 1995 and 2002 is supported by findings from key informant interviews which point to a rapid acceleration in forest clearing, and with it reduced availability of forest resources for local people during the mid-late 1990s. The sizeable reduction in forest cover observed from the mid-1990s to early 2000s coincided with the restrictions on forest access imposed on villagers at this time in Zone 1 and 2, and may also reflect the restrictions associated with the formation of Phnom Kulen as a National Park in 1993. These restrictions appear to have had the effect of forcing local forest users outside of the more regulated National Park, and ZEMP Zones 1 and 2, into the foothills and Angkor plain in Zone 5, the area examined in this case study. Findings from more than one key informant interview indicated that during this time there had been an increase in ownership, access and use of forest land by outside operators (often larger commercial operators and others with land interests from Siem Reap province or Phnom Penh), resulting in a decrease in access to forests by local villagers. This affirms the argument of Le Billon’s (2002) that the
increased regulation of forests in Cambodia throughout the 1990s occurred at the expense of the poor, and often led to a rise in illegal logging activities. While not proposing a causal link, this increased influence of larger operators also coincides with the increase in the spatial scale at which forests began to decline, as observed in this case study (Fig. 6).

This case study corresponds spatially with important earlier forest mapping by Boulbet (1975) who describes a distinctive forest type ‘Degraded Forests on Red Basalt Soils’ (Forêts dégradées sur les sols de basalte rouge) only found at this location at Angkor. The area of this
forest type can be seen by the clearly distinguishable feature in Figs. 6 and 7. Boulbet’s description of this forest indicated that some species, such as Dipterocarpus alatus (Chhetiel), Heritiera javanica (Duengchaem) and Mesuaferrea (BohsNiek) had been targeted for clearing at an earlier but unknown time (Boulbet, 1975). Despite the extensive clearing of neighbouring forests, which began as early as the 1980s, it was not until the late 1990s or early 2000s that extensive clearing of this forest type occurred (Fig. 6).

6.2.3. Case study 3: central temple complex

Case study 3 is located entirely in Zone 1 where management controls on forest access and use have been strictly enforced since implementation of the ZEMP in 1994. This is in contrast to case study 1 and 2 which have less secure protective status. A large number of people live within, and interact with the landscape here on a daily basis. Forests located in this case study have experienced various cycles of change over centuries (Legris & Blasco, 1972), and within the time period of this research. The largest area of forest in case study 3 is located in the walled city of Angkor Thom, established in the 12th century and identifiable by the obvious square in Fig. 8. These forests experienced considerable disturbance after the collapse of the Angkor civilization between the 16th and 17th century (Ashwell & Fitzwilliams, 1993). Between 1976 and the early 1990s forest throughout Zone 1, with the exception of Angkor Thom, was in poor condition.

In 1976 more than 60% of the case study was forested, and by 1990 and 2002 this had fallen to 20%, a three-fold decrease in forest cover at both time periods. Between 1990 and 1995 there was a 50% decline in forest cover, with the majority of forest located outside of Angkor Thom removed. However, between 1995 and 2002 there is evidence of a 50% increase in forest (Table 3; Fig. 8).

Forest loss has not been linear in this case study, unlike in case study 2. The events and regulations described in Table 1 have, to varying degrees, impacted forest access and use differently, particularly since the mid to late 1990s. The decline in the area of forest between 1990 and 1995 occurred prior to the ZEMP regulations having an impact on the ground, and prior to the controls on forest clearing introduced by the management authority APSARA in 2000. The increase in forest in the more recent period of this case study is likely a consequence of the controls on clearing introduced and enforced by the management authority APSARA in the year 2000, and the lag effect of the World Heritage zoning regulations in the mid-1990s. This observation was repeatedly acknowledged during key informant interviews. According to a key informant from the management authority APSARA, the impact of the zoning on the extent of forest cover was not observable on the ground until at least the late-1990s.

There were few if any regulations controlling land use at Angkor prior to the ZEMP and this may explain the forest pattern observed in the first half of the 1990s. Notwithstanding the introduction of the strict controls associated with the ZEMP, findings from key informant interviews suggested that limited enforcement meant some local people continued using the forests within this case study, at that time, for small scale livelihood activities such as firewood collection, an observation supported by Le Billon (2002). After the mid-1990s access to forests and forest resources generally saw a decline, coinciding with an increase in the area of forest by 2002, the latest date of this research (Table 3; Fig. 8).

7. Conclusion

The review of the current state of research provided in the background offers advantages in using a multiplicity of approaches in unravelling the complexities of land cover change and influencing processes, which may include but are not limited to cross-sensor, multi-sensor and multi-temporal remote sensing analysis, effective integration of qualitative and quantitative data and an appreciation of site context.

By including non-quantitative data, namely the voice of experts familiar with the landscape context, and tying image dates to the timing of historical events such as periods of conflict and the introduction of land use regulations and controls, this research has been able to unravel some of the more subtle pathways in understanding processes of forest cover change. Previous studies have highlighted the uniqueness of different study sites when applying similar remote sensing methods alongside considerations of landscape, historic, administrative and political context (for example Cassidy et al., 2013; Fox and Vogler., 2005; Kong et al., 2019; Malilla et al., 2014; Negassa et al., 2020 and Yismaw et al., 2014). This study contributes to the existing research by emphasising analysis at varying scales of geography (case studies) within a protected area to identify differences across the study site. In addition, an attempt to identify the possible causal factors of forest cover change in this research was premised on understanding localised differences in observations at the case studies.

The various management strategies and regulations put in place to limit forest access and use at Angkor have altered the spatial pattern and extent of forest cover during the time period of this research, as have less tangible factors such as the impact of civil war, tourism, and as discussed by Le Billon (2000) an often unregulated political and economic landscape. Many forests evolve as a result of ongoing management and forest resource utilisation by local communities (Fairhead & Leach, 1996), as is the case at certain locations in the current study. However, other broader factors associated with Cambodia’s political and economic transformation, as discussed in the introduction and described by Milne...
Mahanty (2015), Le Billon (1999, 2000) and Gururani and Vandergeest (2014) have also in less direct ways, impacted forest cover observable in more recent times.

As examined, the conservation and protective status of forests across Angkor (case study 2 and 3) and within Phnom Kulen (case study 1) are not uniform. Although management controls on forest use within Zones 1 and 2 provide a relatively secure protective status, those areas located outside of these two zones are less secure. Key informant interviews reveal that forest monitoring in Phnom Kulen is left largely to local people living across the site, with infrequent visits by representatives from the authority in charge. This again raises questions about challenges of resource governance and forest management, as discussed by Le Billon (2002) and Milne & Mahanty (2015), and demonstrates the need for improved management and landscape-scale monitoring. This is achievable using the methods adopted by this research combining remotely sensed imagery in combination with ground survey and

Fig. 7. (a) 1975 map of vegetation derived from field surveys (Boulbet, 1975) (b) 2004 SPOT image. The area delineated in white in (a) and (b) indicates the approximate location of the forest type: Degraded Forests on Red Basalt Soils, as mapped and described by Boulbet (1975). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Fig. 8. Areas of forest and non-forest at four different times from 1976 to 2002. Black areas indicate forest.
nuanced insight from local experts. In light of the changing relationship between nature and society in contemporary Cambodia (Le Billon, 1999; Milne & Mahanty, 2015), an untying of complex ecological, socio-economic and political processes was not possible within the scope of the research. In addition, identifying forest change is somewhat constrained by the spatial resolution of the imagery, this is despite Landsat satellite imagery providing good temporal coverage while maintaining consistent spatial coverage. Applying coarse-scale image classification methods to specific locations on the ground can be problematic, a potential limitation that is acknowledged. Further, variations in the extent and quality of forest as a consequence of local land use, such as swidden agriculture and firewood collection, can occur at very fine spatial scales, often in the range of 5–10 m², and may not be well detected using coarse scale Landsat imagery (Schmidt-Vogt et al., 2009). Lastly, although all images are near-anniversary, there was an approximate two and a half month difference between the Landsat TM 1990 and 1995 images which may have resulted in seasonal differences between the two images, potentially impacting on the comparability of the classification outputs.

The use of recent and higher resolution satellite imagery combined with more complete observational data via village-scale interviews in future research would improve the ability to detect and understand processes of change, potentially contributing to future planning and decision making on matters related to forest and World Heritage management and conservation at the site. This is despite the ‘unknowns’ associated with a resource that according to Le Billon (1999; 2000) is politicized and poorly governed.
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