Isolated and vulnerable: the history and future of Pacific Island terrestrial biodiversity
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Islands in the tropical Pacific have a rich and unique biota produced by island biogeographic processes and modified by recent anthropogenic influences. This biota has been shaped by four overlapping phases: natural colonization and dynamics (phase 1), impacts of indigenous (phase 2) and non-indigenous (phase 3) settlers, and increasing environmental awareness (phase 4). Island ecosystems are resilient to natural disturbance regimes but highly vulnerable to invasive species and other human-related influences, due to comparatively low alpha diversity, isolated evolution and the absence of certain functional groups. Habitat loss, overexploitation, invasive alien species and pollution continue to threaten terrestrial biodiversity, compounded by limited environmental awareness, minimal conservation funding, project mismanagement, limited local capacity and inadequate and/or unsuitable conservation policies. To achieve effective conservation of terrestrial biodiversity in the region, biophysical threats need to be mitigated with improved scientific, institutional and management capacity.
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INTRODUCTION

THE insular tropical Pacific includes independent nations and dependent territories between New Guinea in the west and the Galápagos Islands in the east (see Fig. 1.1 in Mueller-Dombois and Fosberg 1989). It has exceptional terrestrial biodiversity (Keast and Miller 1996, Table 1), recognized by the identification of four biodiversity hotspots: Polynesia and Micronesia, East Melanesian Islands, Melanesia, New Caledonia, and Galápagos, as part of Tumbes-Chocó-Magdalena (Mittermeier et al. 2004). Furthermore, New Guinea is widely recognized as a centre of high biodiversity (Legra et al. 2008).

Isolation through separation by inhospitable oceans that limit dispersal has produced high terrestrial species turnover among islands and considerable localized endemism (Keppel et al. 2009, Kier et al. 2009). This results in low alpha and high gamma diversity, compared to similar ecosystems on continents. Furthermore, island floras often lack important biotic elements of the mainland (Thorne 1963, Keppel et al. 2009).

Insular ecosystems in the Pacific are highly susceptible to anthropogenic disturbance (Kier et al. 2009), demonstrated by the extinction of large proportions of the Pacific bird fauna (Steadman 2006). High vulnerability to anthropogenic influences may be related to low alpha diversity, the absence of certain functional groups, small population sizes and limited genetic diversity (Frankham 1998, Mueller-Dombois 2008). Currently, habitat destruction and degradation, and invasive species threaten numerous species and ecosystems in the tropical Pacific (Kingsford et al. 2009, Woinarski 2010).

We review the history, current threats and predicted future of terrestrial ecosystems on oceanic islands of the tropical Pacific. These islands lack continental connections but share histories of colonization, disharmonic biotas and biological invasions. We highlight ecological and socio-economic challenges to conservation in Pacific Island countries and suggest ways these could be overcome.

HISTORY

Pacific Island ecosystems are the result of four key historical phases: natural colonization and dynamics; impacts of indigenous settlers; impacts of non-indigenous settlers; and environmental awareness (Fig. 1). The first phase covers the geological appearance, and subsequent colonization by species, of an island before human arrival. The second and third phases include the impacts of humans on the environment. The final phase is the current one, with increasing concerns about environmental degradation and biodiversity loss. While there is considerable overlap between different phases, they illustrate the major changes in Pacific Island socio-ecological environments.

Natural colonization and dynamics

Volcanic, limestone or atoll islands in the tropical Pacific are geologically relatively young, not exceeding 40 million years (Mueller-Dombois et al. 2009).
Fig. 1. Schematic timeline of the four historical phases of Pacific ecosystems (not to scale). 1 = natural colonization, 2 = impacts of indigenous settlers, 3 = impacts of non-indigenous settlers, 4 = environmental awareness. PNG = Papua New Guinea, SI = Solomon Islands.

Fig. 2. Long-term dynamics of Hawai‘i’s montane ohia rainforest under natural conditions (a and b) and with potential long-term effects of alien plant species (c and d), after Boehmer and Niemand (2009). a) Replacement dieback: regular cohort dynamics of native ohia (Metrosideros polymorpha) with subsequent replacement of the dead canopy cohort after dieback. b) Displacement dieback: displacement of ohia by native hapu‘u tree ferns Cibotium sp. after dieback; dense treefern canopy inhibits ohia regeneration on nutrient rich ash soils. c) New displacement dieback, Type 1: displacement of ohia by cohorts of non-native strawberry guava Psidium cattleianum, producing guava-dominated forest. d) New displacement dieback, Type 2: invasion of non-native kahili ginger Hedychium gardnerianum and subsequent dominance in the understory, inhibiting ohia regeneration after dieback and facilitating displacement by Psidium cattleianum, producing a Psidium-forest with Hedychium-dominated understory.
Dombois and Fosberg 1998), and harbour more than 12 000 plant and 500 bird species (Mittermeier et al. 2004). For islands in the tropical Pacific, these biota originated recently from continents, particularly Australia, Southeast Asia and the Americas. Colonization was probably continuous, with various lineages arriving at different times, within the last 10 million years (Keppel et al. 2009).

The diversity and endemism of Pacific Islands’ biota reflects the age, area and isolation of islands, decreasing eastwards from the large and less isolated islands in the western Pacific (e.g. Diamond and Mayr 1976, Keppel et al. 2010). Speciation within and among islands has also contributed to diversity (e.g. Cronk et al. 2005, Percy et al. 2008). Intra-island speciation was likely facilitated by the presence of diverse ecosystems (Mueller-Dombois and Fosberg 1998), the natural fragmentation of some ecosystems (e.g. tropical montane cloud forest) into isolated communities (Merlin and Juvik 1993), and microhabitat specialization (Webb 1999, Keppel et al. 2011).

Low alpha diversity in Pacific Island ecosystems has simplified some ecological processes, compared to continental environments. For example, secondary succession, through auto-succession, involves the same species in some ecosystems of Hawai‘i (e.g. Mueller-Dombois and Boehmer 2013) and Galápagos (Itow and Mueller-Dombois 1988). Despite such simplicity, ecosystems on tropical Pacific Islands display considerable resilience. For example, Western Polynesian and Eastern Melanesian rainforests are adapted to frequent cyclones, surviving or recovering quickly, even after severe damage (e.g. Burslem et al. 2000, Webb et al. 2011). However, repeated intense disturbances can alter succession pathways, producing alternate communities (Elmqvist et al. 1994, Franklin 2007).

Natural disturbance regimes drive vegetation dynamics (Boehmer and Richter 1997). In many archipelagos of the tropical Pacific these include extreme climatic events, such as cyclones (Keppel et al. 2010), and extreme geologic events, such as volcanic eruptions. In Hawai‘i, Metrosideros polymorpha trees establish after eruption, producing an even-aged cohort with an estimated life span of 400–600 years (Kitayama et al. 1995). Such cohorts may be maintained for thousands of years, sometimes affected by landscape-level canopy dieback triggered by extreme climatic events (Boehmer et al. 2013, Fig. 2). M. polymorpha displays enormous resilience, persisting over millions of years as the keystone species in rainforests through severe climatic changes (Hotchkiss et al. 2000, Mueller-Dombois and Boehmer 2013). Similarly, the regeneration dynamics of Araucariaceae in New Caledonia and New Guinea, and Scalea species in Galápagos, depend on large-scale disturbances and cyclic regeneration of successive cohorts (Itow and Mueller-Dombois 1998, Enright et al. 1999).

Impacts of indigenous settlers

Humans arrived on oceanic Pacific Islands 5000–700 years ago (Anderson 2009, Wilmhurst et al. 2011), with severe environmental consequences. For example, giant, now-extinct megapodes, pigeons, frogs, tortoises and iguanas (Pregill and Worthy 2003) lived in Fiji before humans arrived. On other Pacific Islands, large-bodied animals were also rapidly driven to extinction by early human colonizers (e.g. Steadman 2006).

The first colonizers also introduced numerous plant and animal species, with rats and pigs particularly impacting ecosystems (Anderson 2009). After initial rapid impacts, the synergistic effects of habitat conversion, species extinctions, species introductions, and novel pathogens continued to change ecosystems, driving more species to extinction (Burney et al. 2001). The extinction of large-bodied animals may continue to affect the present dispersal ability of large-seeded tree species (McConkey and Drake 2002).

Large-scale changes in vegetation also occurred. Vegetation was cleared for agriculture, introduced animals preyed on seeds (McConkey et al. 2003, Meyer and Butaud 2009), and the frequency of fire increased (Hope et al. 2009). As a result, prevalent species and vegetation types disappeared, sometimes within decades of human arrival (e.g. Flennery and King 1984, Prebble and Dowse 2008). In dry regions (e.g. western Viti Levu, Fiji), forests were replaced by herbaceous landscapes (Rolett and Diamond 2004, Hope et al. 2009).

Impacts of non-indigenous settlers

European settlers further exacerbated environmental impacts by increasing habitat destruction and/or conversion, hunting and harvesting native species, and introducing additional non-native plants, animals and pathogenic diseases (Cuddihy and Stone 1990). As a result, little primary forest remains and there are now more than 1500 terrestrial species listed on the IUCN Red List of threatened species for the Pacific region (Morrison 2012, Table 1). The Pacific region also has the highest proportion of threatened birds in the world and more than 90% (201 species) of all Pacific terrestrial gastropods are listed as threatened. Terrestrial species are most impacted by habitat loss (55%; includes modification, degradation,
fragmentation), followed by overexploitation (32%; hunting and gathering, logging), invasive alien species (22%; flora, fauna, pathogens) and pollution (14%; airborne, water, terrestrial), with many species affected by more than one threatening process (Morrison 2012). These impacts stem from local threats (e.g. land clearing for plantations, construction of tourist resorts), to landscape level factors (e.g. downstream pollution from urban areas or industry, overharvesting of local flora/fauna populations), to ecosystem scale events such as widespread logging, large-scale commercial agriculture, urban spread and mining (Kingsford et al. 2009, Woinarski 2010).

Logging and intensive agriculture are two of the bigger threats that have destroyed large areas of native ecosystems (Kingsford et al. 2009, Wardell-Johnson et al. 2011). Logging continues at high rates in the Solomon Islands and New Guinea, with considerable social and environmental consequences (Kabutaulaka 2000, Shearman et al. 2009). Commercial plantations of non-native trees, including mahogany, Caribbean pine and oil palms are replacing native forests (Varmola 2002, Nelson et al. 2014). In addition, agriculture extent and intensity increases with growing human populations and a demand-driven market, exemplified by kava cultivation on Pohnpei Island (Merlin and Raynor 2005). Furthermore, extensive mining has considerably damaged ecosystems on islands such as Nauru and Grande Terre, New Caledonia (e.g. Manner et al. 1984).

Few habitats are currently free from non-native plant and animal species and, although the impacts of invasive species are variable and complex (Fischer et al. 2009, Minden et al. 2010, Fig. 2), they often have devastating effects, with many current island habitats becoming “novel” or “hybrid” ecosystems, with abundant invasive alien species (Pouteau et al. 2013). Ungulates (goats, sheep, horses, cattle), introduced in the 18th century, dramatically affected the vegetation of the Hawai`ian and Marquesas Islands (Merlin and Juwik 1992). The accidental introduction of the black rat Rattus rattus from European ships caused numerous extinctions of endemic land birds on several Polynesian archipelagos (Thibault et al. 2002). Other faunal introductions with catastrophic impacts on the native fauna of Pacific Islands include the small Indian mongoose Herpestes javanicus in Fiji and Hawai`i (Morley and Winder 2013), the carnivorous rosy-wolf snail Euglandina rosea in Hawai`i, Samoa and French Polynesia (Cowie 2001), the little fire ant Wasmannia auropunctata in New Caledonia (Le Brenton et al. 2003), and the brown tree snake Boiga irregularis on Guam (Wiles et al. 2003). Alien birds, such as the common mynah Acridotheres tristis or bulbuls Pycnonotus spp. compete with native birds for food and nesting sites and disperse invasive plants, changing the seed dispersal networks in forest ecosystems (Spotswood et al. 2012).

Continuously increasing numbers of invasive alien plants are also changing the structure and processes of Pacific Island ecosystems. Several introduced plant species are now dominant in native forests (see Meyer 2004, 2014, Fig. 2), including strawberry guava Psidium cattleianum, rose-apple Syzygium jambos, Miconia calvescens and Clidemia hirta in rain- and cloud forest. Invasive, flammable grasses have invaded many dry habitats, establishing new fire regimes, community dynamics and nutrient cycling (D’Antonio and Vitousek 1992). Other potential threats to native forests include the African tulip tree Spathodea campanulata and octopus tree Schefflera actinophylla, now widely naturalized in Fiji, Hawai`i and Tahiti (e.g. Meyer 2000). Furthermore, invasive plants may possess traits previously absent in native ecosystems that change ecosystem processes. For example, the non-native tree Morella faya has quadrupled the amount of nitrogen entering soils in Hawai`i (Vitousek et al. 1987).

Environmental Awareness

Over the last few decades, there has been increasing awareness about the environmental consequences of human activities and the need to protect native biodiversity on tropical Pacific Islands. This is manifested through international and regional agreements designed to protect the environment. For example, most Pacific Island countries have committed to monitoring biodiversity as part of the United Nation’s Millennium Goals and the Convention on Biological Diversity’s 2011–2020 Strategic Plan for Biodiversity (CBD 2010) and through the Convention for the protection of Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region (Osmundsen 1992). Furthermore, most nations have some protected areas (Table 1) and legislation protecting flora and fauna. There are also a growing number of international and local non-government environmental organizations (NGOs) working in the region (Lees and Siwatibau 2009).

Increasing environmental awareness has led to the emergence of some successful conservation initiatives at various levels. Several, mostly community-managed, protected areas have been in existence for decades, sometimes providing important local revenue (Keppel et al. 2012a). Furthermore, some NGO- and government-driven projects have successfully eradicated invasive species on mostly uninhabited Pacific Islands (e.g. Veitch et al. 2011). On the national level, Fiji has established a multi-institutional...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Land Area (x1000 ha)</th>
<th>Land area forested (%)</th>
<th>Land area classified as forested (%)</th>
<th>Land area protected (%)</th>
<th>Number of terrestrial red-listed plant species</th>
<th>Number of terrestrial vertebrate species</th>
<th>Number of IUCN red-listed species</th>
<th>Number of invasive species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Islands</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>1827</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Polynesia</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1611</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galápagos</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Islands</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronesia (Federated States of)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Caledonia</td>
<td>1857</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6451</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niue</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Mariana Islands</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>45286</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>18894</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapa Nui (Easter Island)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2597</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokelau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuvalu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallis and Futuna</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>unavailable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aFood and Agricultural Organization (FAO; http://www.fao.org)
*bWorld Bank (http://www.worldbank.org)
*cMorrison (2012)
*dInternational Union for Conservation of Nature (http://www.iucnredlist.org)
*eGlobal Invasive Species Database (http://www.issg.org/database)

Includes any forest cover (primary, secondary, exotic).
protected progress towards protecting 20% of remaining forests (Keppel 2014).

**Implementing Conservation**

Despite increased environmental awareness and funding and some conservation successes, few new terrestrial protected areas have been established over the last two decades to halt habitat conversion and degradation, and the number of species listed on the IUCN Red List is growing (Keppel et al. 2012b, Morrison 2012, Table 1). Rapidly growing and more mobile human populations, related pressures from natural resource extraction and species introductions, and the imminent impacts of climate change, are intensifying threats for the unique terrestrial biodiversity of Pacific islands (Wardell-Johnson et al. 2011, Woinarski 2010). This is compounded by the limited amount and quality of conservation data available, poor collaboration among stakeholders, limited and mismanaged funding, and limited political and public awareness of conservation principles.

**Ecological Challenges**

Controlling invasive species is difficult, especially when a species is widespread. Most successful eradications have been achieved on localized scales (e.g. Veitch et al. 2011). Biological control is an efficient tool, but can negatively impact on native biodiversity where host-specificity of the agent was not adequately ascertained (Kuris 2003). Similarly, restoring degraded landscapes with depleted soils, non-native seed banks and altered ecosystem dynamics is challenging (Gardener et al. 2013).

Anthropogenic climate change directly impacts on the biodiversity of the region as well as indirectly through other environmental threats, intensifying current pressures (Wardell-Johnson et al. 2011). Sea level rise, changes to rainfall patterns and hydrological regimes, more extreme weather events, and increased temperatures will impact on terrestrial biodiversity (Leslie et al. 2007, IPCC 2013), increasingly threatening many species and their ecosystems. For example, tropical montane cloud forests are experiencing altered precipitation, humidity, temperature, and storm frequency and duration (Foster 2001), with these impacts probably exacerbated by complex synergetic effects (Boehmer 2011).

**Social and Economic Challenges**

There is limited reliable quantitative data on species diversity and distributions, the quality and availability of habitats, or conservation threats (e.g. Solomon Islands and Vanuatu lack published floras). There are publicly available estimates (cf. Table 1), but with severe inaccuracies. For example, the Cook Islands have primary forest remaining (Keppel et al. 2012a) and only 890 of the 1611 vascular plant species reported for French Polynesia are native, while the terrestrial protected area coverage in French Polynesia is almost twice the extent reported (Meyer and Salvat 2009). Many other environmental indicators, such as the species listed in the IUCN Red List (Morrison 2012), are based on data with major knowledge gaps for certain taxonomic groups and geographic areas.

Numerous stakeholders, including government departments, United Nations agencies, regional intergovernmental agencies, local and international NGOs, community-based groups, academic institutions and landowners, are involved in conservation of Pacific Island ecosystems (Lees and Siwatibau 2009). Despite this large number, or because of it, there is often little consultation or collaboration, duplicated conservation effort and wasted resources. Conflict and competition may also exist among the different groups that pursue their own goals and responsibilities to donor agencies, which are frequently unrelated to national and local conservation priorities (Hunnam 2002, Keppel et al. 2012b, Lees and Siwatibau 2009).

Active participation of landowners in the conservation and management of biodiversity is critical because most land (>80%) in Pacific Island nations is under customary (or traditional) ownership and managed by customary groups and processes, often linked to social and spiritual/religious beliefs (Ward 2000, Keppel et al. 2012b). Land is highly valued, providing almost all subsistence requirements, status, psychological security and identity or a place to belong (Kamikamica 1987). The complex land tenure systems and relatively limited government authority, compared to countries with central governance of the land, makes creating and maintaining protected areas more complicated (Keppel et al. 2012b).

Management of conservation resources is often inefficient. Most resources are with international NGOs, often with excessive administration costs, repetitive or redundant processes, and poor prioritization of conservation targets (Bottrill et al. 2011, Keppel et al. 2012b). Most Pacific Island countries are developing nations and environmental sectors of governments are generally poorly underfunded and considered low priority as governments and landowners often rely heavily on natural resource extraction for income (Morrison 2012). Further, international donor-assisted programmes usually have short-funding cycles of 3–5 years, insufficient for successful implementation of conservation programmes that meet the needs of local landowning communities (Hunnam 2002, Sayer and Campbell 2004).
Increasing environmental and conservation knowledge and awareness at all levels is essential for effective management of Pacific Island ecosystems and biodiversity. This includes increasing regional awareness about the importance of ecosystem management (e.g. large-scale and long-term ecosystem impacts of kava cultivation triggered establishment of the Pohnpei Watershed Forest Reserve), invasive species prevention (e.g. ongoing community workshops are slowing the spread of green iguanas, *Iguana iguana*, in Fiji), and sustainable harvesting of biodiversity (e.g. ongoing government workshops about the impacts of large-scale logging and palm oil plantations in the Solomon Islands). Such information is critical for local government and community support for conservation programmes, as well as improving the ability of politicians, government employees and local communities to make informed decisions about their natural resources.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Effective policies that protect Pacific Island biodiversity, addressing current and emerging ecological, political and social challenges are required. Such policies have been proposed but their implementation needs to build local capacity at all levels (Kingsford et al. 2009). They need to be linked to clear, specific, national targets with quantifiable outcomes (e.g. reducing the number of plants listed as threatened on the IUCN Red List by a certain percentage by 2030). Such targets should be identified and strategically prioritized, based on national importance, producing concerted and concentrated conservation actions. To evaluate effectiveness, regular state of the environment quantitative reporting is essential. This involves national monitoring the extent of and threats to ecosystems, including the distribution and abundance of species.

Functional ecosystems are especially important for developing island nations, with large rural populations, dependent on natural resources. The importance of these ecosystems and their species is not always recognized (Scanlon et al. 2014), highlighting the need for increased environmental awareness. A greater understanding of the central role of ecosystems and biodiversity to the well-being of Pacific Island societies should increase willingness and responsibility for environmental protection, potentially reducing current inefficiencies affecting conservation.

The effects of multiple threats are more difficult to predict, constituting one of the major dangers posed by anthropogenic climate change in Oceania (Wardell-Johnson et al. 2011). For example, Hawai’ian montane forests are fundamentally threatened by alien invasive species, exacerbated by projected increased frequency of climatic anomalies, due to climate change (Loope and Giambelluca 1998). The latter will increase the vulnerability of the indigenous key tree species, making forests more susceptible to biological invasions. If invading alien species colonize before indigenous species establish, the composition and structure of the native ecosystem will change (Minden et al. 2010, Fig. 2). This may produce completely new ecosystems with few native plant species, as on some islands of French Polynesia (Pouteau et al. 2013).

Pacific Island ecosystems have displayed great resilience to natural disturbances and climatic changes over thousands of years, which should be utilized in restoration and conservation efforts. More recently, many ecosystems are becoming increasingly vulnerable to anthropogenic effects, making them among the most threatened on our planet (Gillespie et al. 2012). Only a concerted effort, focussed on alleviating and mitigating key threats through effective policies and the collaboration of key stakeholders, will halt or minimize the continuing loss of biodiversity. Such an effort would need to be based on increased local capacity and environmental awareness.
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