Feeding Value of Some Cassava By-Products Meal for Poultry: A Review

S.S. Diarra and A. Devi
School of Agriculture and Food Technology, University of the South Pacific, Alafua Campus, P.M. Bag Alafua, Samoa

Abstract: Cassava root meal which has been used as a good alternative energy source in poultry and pig diets is increasingly becoming an important energy source for feeding the world’s human population. There are however, several by-products of cassava harvest ranging from the leaves, peels and pulp which have potential as feed ingredients in poultry rations. Cassava peels and pulps are moderate to high in energy and have been included in diets as replacements for conventional energy sources. Cassava leaves, moderate to good protein contents, have been used as protein supplements. Dietary recommendations of cassava by-products for poultry have varied considerably. The major factors limiting the efficient utilization of these by-products in poultry diets include the high fibre and low energy contents and likely presence of antinutritional factors, mainly hydrocyanic acid (HCN) in the bitter variety of cassava. Several technologies have been used to improve the utilization of cassava by-products by poultry. The following paper reviewed the composition of some cassava by-products (leaves, peels and pulp), their recommendations for inclusion in poultry diets and processing methods to maximize their utilization by poultry. It was concluded that efficient use of cassava by-products will reduce feed cost of poultry production and provide additional source of income to cassava farmers and processors.
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INTRODUCTION
The high nutritive value, short cycle and relative cheap cost of production, make poultry products the ideal animal protein source for feeding the world’s human population. However, high feed cost remains a major impediment to efficient poultry production. Many traditional ingredients used in poultry diets are forecast to be in short supply mainly as a result of the increase in human population and unfavourable climatic conditions (Cribb, 2010). The growing demand for traditional energy and protein feed ingredients as food by the ever-growing world’s human population and other industrial uses has increased research interest in alternative cheaper ingredients for poultry feeding.

Cassava, manioc (Manihot esculenta), a root crop cultivated mainly in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world, tolerant to poor soils, diseases and drought, can yield between 25 to 60 tons/ha (Chauynarong et al., 2009). The world production of cassava is estimated at more than 230 million metric tones annually (FAOSTAT, 2011) with Nigeria, Brazil, Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia and DR Congo being the largest producers (FAO, 2008; FAOSTAT, 2011; Khempaka et al., 2014). Cassava is reported to be the world’s third largest source of carbohydrates for human consumption (Fauquet and Fargette, 1990) which forms an important component in the diet of over 800 million people around the world (FAO, 2008).

Although cassava root meal has been fed to poultry as a major source of energy with success (Salami, 2000; Tewe and Bokanga, 2001; Saparattananan et al., 2005), the increased demand of this crop for food and industrial uses such as starch and flour production will reduce its future availability for poultry feeding at economic prices. However, during growing and processing of cassava for food or industrial uses several by-products ensue, which have potential as feed ingredients in poultry diets. This paper reviewed the composition of selected by-products of the cassava crop, their dietary recommendations and factors limiting their efficient utilization by poultry. Some feed technologies used to enhance the utilization of these by-products by poultry are also highlighted.

Nutritive composition and anti-nutritive properties of selected cassava by-products

Cassava peel meal (CPM): During cassava processing for food a major by-product is cassava peel usually with small discarded tubers in various proportions. Cassava peel which accounts for 10-13% of tuber weight (Oludunjoye et al., 2010) is readily available in countries where cassava is cultivated and processed into food for human consumption. In areas where cassava is a staple energy source, this product may accumulate and often pose disposal problems. The composition of CPM depends on several factors including variety, stage of maturity and the proportions of peels and tubers in the
mixture. Cassava peel meal is reported to contain between 3.1-5% (Tewe and Kasali, 1986; Babatunde, 2013) and 9-12% (Babatunde, 2013; Oladunjoye et al., 2010) crude protein and crude fibre, respectively. The high fibre, low energy and low protein contents relative to maize and the presence of HCN in the bitter variety of cassava are the major constraints to the effective utilization of CPM by poultry. Fresh cassava peels can also spoil rapidly on account of the high moisture content and need to be processed immediately. However, large amounts of sweet cassava, low in HCN, are now planted throughout the world for human consumption.

Cassava pulp (CP): Cassava pulp is a solid by-product of starch production from cassava root. During starch production, CP yields from 10-15% of the root (Khempaka et al., 2014) which is a readily available material in major starch producing countries. Cassava pulp is rich in starch ranging from about 54-70% (Khempaka et al., 2009; Khempaka et al., 2014). The pulp is reported to contain between 1.7-2.8%, 1.6-2.0%, 13.6-27.8 and 0.1% ash, crude protein, crude fibre and ether extract respectively (Khempaka et al., 2009; Khempaka et al., 2014). The fibre of CP exists mainly as insoluble fibre. Suksombat et al. (2007) reported 36.7% neutral detergent fibre, 9.8% acid detergent fibre and 3.9% acid detergent lignin in CP. Kosoom et al. (2009) however, reported differences in the composition of cassava pulp among starch processing plants. The high fibre and extremely low protein contents, bulkiness and dustiness are the main factors limiting the inclusion of CP in poultry rations.

Cassava leaf meal (CLM): Cassava leaf is a by-product of cassava plant at root harvest but may also be obtained from defoliation during plant growth. Several factors including the cultivar (Ahmad, 1973; Gomez and Valdivieso, 1985), age of plant, plant density, harvesting technique, soil fertility status (Ravindran and Rajaguru, 1988) and frequency of harvesting (Normanha, 1962; Rogers and Milner, 1963; Eggum, 1970; Ravindran and Rajaguru, 1988; Ravindran, 1991; Wobeto et al., 2006) have been reported to affect the yield and composition of cassava leaf. Dry matter yields of 1.8 t/ha (Gomez and Valdivieso, 1985) and 4.6 t/ha (Ravindran and Ravindran, 1988) have been recorded at root harvest. Harvesting leaves during the growing period was found to increase DM yield although this may reduce root yield (Dahniya et al., 1981; Ravindran and Ravindran, 1988). With adequate irrigation and fertilization, however, cassava plants can withstand defoliation of 60-75 days interval for several years (Montaldo, 1977). Crude protein contents of 16.7-39.9% have been reported in cassava leaves (Rogers and Milner, 1963; Allen, 1984; Gomez et al., 1985; Ravindran et al., 1987; Ravindran, 1995; Bui and Ly, 2001; Nguyen, 2012). According to Eggum (1970) 85% of cassava leaf protein exists as true protein. Phuc and Lindberg (2001) reported that the protein of CLM is comparable with alfalfa and soybean meals in terms of essential amino acids. With the exception of methionine, the essential amino acid value of CLM exceeds those of FAO reference protein (Lancaster and Brooks, 1983). Yeoh and Chew (1976) observed that the essential amino acid content of CLM protein is similar to that of the hen’s egg and greater than that of oat, rice grain and soybean. The methionine of CLM however, has low biological value ranging from 49 to 57% (Ravindran, 1995) calling for methionine supplementation of diets based on this by-product. Ravindran (1991) analyzed CLM to contain 4.8-29 and 5.7-12.5% crude fibre and total ash respectively. Cassava leaves are good sources of vitamins and xanthophylls, a substance that gives the skin or egg yolk the deep yellow colour. Like cassava pulp, the high fibre content and presence of HCN may limit the efficient utilization of CLM in poultry diets. Ravindran et al. (1986) reported 84mg/kg HCN in cassava leaf. The same factors affecting the nutrient content such as genetic, edaphic, physiological and climatic have all been reported to affect the HCN content of the leaves (Gomez and Valdivieso, 1985; Pham et al., 2010). Another factor limiting the utilization of cassava by-products in poultry feeding is their relatively low dry matter content. The composition of some cassava by-products in selected constituents and the amino acid profile of cassava leaf meal are summarized in Table 1 and 2, respectively.

Recommendations of cassava by-products in poultry diets
Broilers: Recommendations of CLM in broiler diets vary within wide ranges. Ravindran et al. (1986) observed that broiler performance was sustained up to 5% dietary CLM. In another study Ravindran (1991) observed that above 5% dietary CLM growth performance was not affected but feed intake and feed: gain were increased. Broiler growth was reported to be depressed above 5% dietary CLM (Ross and Enriquez, 1969; Iheukwumere et al., 2008) but addition of 0.15 or 0.3% methionine and 3% corn oil was found to correct this growth depression (Ross and Enriquez, 1969) confirming that energy and methionine are the major factors limiting the utilization of CLM in poultry diets. Methionine is a methyl donor as well as a source of labile sulphur. The roles of methyl in tannin detoxification and sulphur in cyanide detoxification (Ravindran, 1991) may further explain the improvement in performance with methionine supplementation of diets based on cassava products. Feeding up to 20% CLM in pelleted broiler diets did not affect performance (Montillo, 1977). The maintained performance may be...
diets containing cassava products were found to exhibit better health status and required less antibiotic compared to those fed maize based diets (Saentaweesuk et al., 2000; Tathawan et al., 2002) probably as a result of reduced gut colonization by E. coli observed by Promthong et al. (2005). Feeding of CP was also found to reduce abdominal fat in broiler chickens (Khempaka et al., 2009) thus, improve carcass quality probably through inhibition of fat synthesis on high fibre diets.

Layers: Layer strains appear to tolerate more cassava by-products in the diet mainly because of their lower energy requirement compared to meat type chickens. In a study with laying hens, Obioha et al. (1984) observed that 20% dietary CPM maintained performance in terms of egg production, egg weight and feed conversion ratio compared to the control diet based on maize. Replacement of 27% dietary maize with CPM did not depress feed intake, egg production but feed cost per unit egg was reduced (Tewe and Egbonike, 1992). Salami (2000) reported that replacing 50% dietary maize with parboiled CPM optimised egg production with good economic return. According to Oladunjoye et al. (2010) replacement of dietary maize with 50% sun-dried and 70% lye treated CPM did not affect egg performance and blood characteristics of laying hens. Cassava leaf meal has been included in laying hens diets with satisfactory results. Inclusion of 10% CLM in layer diets did not have adverse effects on egg production, egg weight and feed efficiency (Ross and Enriquez, 1969). These authors also observed that increasing dietary CLM up to 20% with added methionine and fat maintained egg production comparable to a corn-soybean diet. Where yellow maize is not readily available, an additional advantage of the use of CLM in layer diet is the presence of xanthophylls which produces yellow coloured yolk and reduces the need of supplementing diets with commercial colourings especially where yellow coloured yolk are in demand. Recommendations of cassava leaf, peel and pulp meals in poultry diets are summarized in Table 3.

Feed technologies for improving the utilization of cassava by-product meals by poultry: The high moisture, high fibre and low energy contents of cassava by-products (peel, pulp and leaves) and the presence of

---

Table 1: Composition of cassava peel, pulp and leaf for selected constituents (g/kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-products</th>
<th>Crude protein</th>
<th>Crude fibre</th>
<th>ME (Kcal/kg)</th>
<th>Hydrocyanic acid</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peels</td>
<td>31-52</td>
<td>39-123</td>
<td>2562</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Tewe and Kasali (1986), Iheukwumere et al. (2008), Oladunjoye et al. (2010), Babatunde (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried pulp</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>Khempaka et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented pulp</td>
<td>117-176</td>
<td>106-171</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>Chumpawadee and Soyuchita (2009), Huu and Khamming (2014), Khempaka et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Amino acid composition of cassava leaf meal (g/16 g N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acids</th>
<th>Dry leaves¹</th>
<th>Dry leaves²</th>
<th>Ensiled leaves³</th>
<th>Soybean meal⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: ¹Eggum (1970) and ²Phuc (2000)
HCN limit their efficient utilization for poultry feeding. Several technologies have been used to improve the nutritive value of these by-products for poultry.

**Sun-drying:** Cyanide, a highly heat labile substance, seems to be the major anti-nutritional factor in cassava products which has been reported to be reduced below toxic levels by sun-drying. Sun-drying may be the method of choice in tropical countries as it reduces HCN, below toxic levels without noticeable effect on the nutritional quality. Sun-drying also has the advantage of saving cost of energy and equipment both of which may be limiting in small scale farming systems. Gomez and Valdivieso (1985) and Ravindran (1991) reported a 90% reduction of HCN in sun-dried cassava leaves. According to Ravindran et al. (1987) once the moisture content is below 12% dried cassava leaves can preserve well with little influence on the crude protein content. Khempaka et al. (2014) also observed that sun-drying reduced HCN content of cassava pulp and improved its nutritive value.

**Boiling:** Thermal processing is known to be an effective method of eliminating most antinutritional factors (Visitpanich et al., 1985). Boiling of cassava peels and leaves before feeding to poultry and pigs is a common practice among farmers. Excessive heat application however, may have adverse effect on the nutritional quality of the product. Eggum (1970) observed that boiling may reduce amino acid availability from cassava leaves. Mastering boiling temperature and duration is therefore required for preservation of optimum nutritive quality but this may not be practicable under small scale systems.

**Ensiling/fermentation:** Ensiling has been found to be an efficient method of improving the utilization of cassava based diets through reduced concentration of HCN and improved digestibility. Nguyen (2012) reported up to 80.6% reduction of HCN in cassava leaves after 90 days of ensiling. Phuc et al. (2000) also observed that ensiling reduced the HCN content of cassava leaves by 62%. Van Man and Wiktorsson (2002) ensiled cassava leaves and found a HCN reduction of 68 and 76% after two and four months storage respectively suggesting that HCN reduction is a function of storage length of silage. Ravindran et al. (1987) also observed a decline in the HCN content of cassava leaves during storage. These authors however, reported a gradual reduction of the crude protein content with increasing storage length. In a feeding trial using cassava leaves, Hong and Lindberg (2007) observed higher coefficients of ileal apparent digestibility of crude protein, crude fibre and neutral detergent fibre in pigs fed diets based on ensiled than raw or cooked leaves.

Muzanila et al. (2000) reported a reduction of HCN content of cassava from 400 to 14 and 5.84 mg HCN/kg by solid-state and wet fermentation, respectively. Fermentation of cassava waste with *Aspergillus niger* for three day was reported to increase the biomass protein from 1.6 (w/w) to 7.0% (Manilal et al. 1987). Higher crude protein content and improved starch digestibility of CP fermented with *Aspergillus oryzae* was also observed by Khempaka et al. (2014). Increased fibre digestibility with increasing dietary fermented CP was reported by Huu and Kham meng (2014). These authors also observed higher crude protein (13.4%) content in CP fermented with yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), Chumpawadee and Soychuta (2009) reported 2.52, 16.8 and 17.6% crude protein in non-fermented, naturally fermented and microbial fermented CP, respectively.

**Methionine supplementation:** As cassava products are deficient in methionine, supplementation of diets with this amino acid has been reported to be a viable method.
of upgrading diets containing these products for poultry and pigs (Ravindran, 1991). In addition to correcting deficiency in the diets, the need for extra methionine in HCN detoxification has also been reported in poultry and pigs (Ravindran, 1991). However, Adegbola (1977) observed reduction of urinary thiocyanate in rats fed cassava-based diets with additional methionine and suggested that addition of extra methionine for HCN detoxification may not be justified. Gomez et al. (1985) also observed that supplementation of high cassava (65%) diets with 0.2 or 0.3% methionine had no benefit in all classes of pigs. Recently, Diarra (2015) reported that addition of methionine and vegetable oil to cassava leaf meal based diets maintained performance of egg-type pullets.

Addition of fat: Addition of dietary fat to compensate for the low energy in cassava by-products has been reported to improve their utilization by poultry. Addition of corn oil (Ross and Enriquez, 1969) was reported to improve performance of broilers fed diets based on CLM suggesting that energy is a factor limiting the utilization of CLM by broilers. On low energy diets, birds significantly increase feed intake to meet energy requirement which consequently reduces absorption of other nutrients. The low heat increment of fat will also be beneficial in combating heat in poultry fed such diets especially in hot tropical cassava producing regions.

Conclusions: Several by-products from cassava crop (peels, leaves and pulp) could be efficiently utilized to reduce cost of poultry feed. The high fibre, high moisture and likely presence of HCN are however, major limitations to their efficient utilization by poultry. Following appropriate processing, the inclusion rates of these by-products could be maximized to further reduce production cost. In addition to cost reduction, efficient utilization of these by-products will solve disposal problems and generate additional income to farmers and processors in cassava producing regions of the world.
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