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Centre node virtual power flow controller can fulfill various power flow control objectives, such as the needs of reactive shunt and series compensation, phase shifting and ensure higher degree of control freedom. However, as they are expensive, they are not widely used. The potential of a low-cost solution that utilizes the capabilities of plug in electric vehicle (PEV) in vehicle-to-grid mode of operation for the design of a centre node virtual unified power flow controller (CVUPFC) using PEV charging stations is explained. Simulations are performed to establish that the proposed CVUPFC improves the power quality utilising PEV charging stations as DC bus for the converters with higher degree of freedom to control.

Introduction: A unified power flow controller (UPFC) is one of the most multipurpose flexible alternating current transmission systems (FACTS) devices developed to date. Centre UPFC (CUPFC) is a modified UPFC with two series inverters and a shunt inverter in centre node, which offers more degree of freedom in control line (i.e. phase shifting, real power flow, reactive powers at both ends and voltage of the transmission line at the centre point) with better quality performance [1]. The expensive CUPFC is not widely utilised to maintain power quality, as the average cost of CUPFC is three times as much as for a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) per kVA [2], and the prime cost for the construction of this FACTS device is the cost of capacitors and inverters.

The advances in battery technologies, improved safety and economic features make PEVs a smart choice against the traditional automobiles and this ensures their penetration in transportation system of almost 25% by the year 2020 in USA [3]. Charging PEV is possible from household electric supply or from a car park, even from a charging station. PEVs charging stations offer the possibility to design and control bidirectional chargers as CVUPFC converters.

Various researches have introduced the concept of utilising PEV as sources for reserve energy, for equal load distribution, peripheral storage for intermediate energy (i.e. solar and wind), virtual dynamic voltage restorer, employing as filter and STATCOM. The financial methods of electricity trading from PEV, also included in a number of articles [4]. However, these prior researches have not described the performances of PEV battery in connection with shunt, centre node and series compensating attributes as CVUPFC does.

Given these situations, this research results a smart method of using PEV’s bidirectional charger and dynamic batteries as CVUPFC in V2G mode in a power network, considering that the vehicle will be charged from a charging station.

PEV battery modelling: In this Letter, PEVs are considered as dynamic batteries, connected with the grid using bidirectional converters [5]. The PEVs are considered with ±12 kW active and ±12 kVAR reactive power capacity and with a rated current 30 A for the converters. The other parameters are used considering PEV, Escape 2010 designed by Ford, as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Vehicle specification and drive system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model – Escape 2010 Ford</td>
<td>Number of cells – 84 Charging voltage – 120 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output - 155 hp at 600 rpm</td>
<td>Cell voltage – 3.6 Charging current – 30 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curb weight - 1568 kg</td>
<td>System voltage – 302 V Pack energy – 12 kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A third-order rechargeable dynamic battery model considering state of charge (SOC) and electrolyte temperature $\theta$ has been used [6] in this research. The equivalent circuit of the battery with parasitic branch is shown in Fig. 1a.

An RC network can be used to represent this battery with a limited number of blocks, since the dominant real poles of the system are limited in PEV [7], as shown in Fig. 1b.

The equations for this dynamic battery [6, 7] are

\[ \dot{q} = \frac{I_{dc}}{3600} \]  
\[ i_n = \frac{(I_{dc} - I_n)}{T_m} \]  
\[ \dot{\theta} = -\frac{1}{C_\theta} \left[ P_1 - \theta - \frac{Q_n}{R_\theta} \right] \]  
\[ V_{dc} = E_n - V_p(q, i_n) + V_e e^{-\theta.q} - R_0 i_n \]

In this model, hysteresis phenomenon for battery charging and discharging is denoted by $V_p(q, i_n)$. The battery charging and discharging is directly proportional to the charging state, while the direction of the current, $i_n$, copes the polarisation voltage $V_p$ as

\[ V_p(q, i_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{R_p}{q} + \frac{K_p}{q} & \text{if } i_n > 0 \text{ (discharge)} \\ \frac{R_p}{q} + \frac{K_p}{q} + \frac{Q_n}{SOC} & \text{if } i_n < 0 \text{ (charge)} \end{cases} \]  

The SOC can be found using the following equation:

\[ SOC = \frac{Q_0 - Q_n}{Q_a} = 1 - q \]

**CVUPFC design and control:** The virtual CVUPFC for transmission line is shown in Fig. 2. A couple of modified decoupled PQ controllers which have outstanding performances and simple to employ in real time are used for both shunt and series converters.

![Fig. 1 Battery network](Image 64x129 to 70x142)

Fig. 1 Battery network

- a Battery equivalent circuit including parasitic
- b RC circuit

![Fig. 2 Implementation of CVUPFC in transmission line](Image 107x129 to 113x142)

Fig. 2 Implementation of CVUPFC in transmission line

![Fig. 3 Vector diagram of CVUPFC](Image 222x118 to 229x141)

Fig. 3 Vector diagram of CVUPFC

The liberty to identify the reactive powers in $S_{SE}$ and $S_{RE}$ allows an intermediate path to exist for the centre node current, $I_c = I_S - I_R$.

The current phasor triangle of $I_c$, $I_S$, $I_R$ in Fig. 3 gives an image of fundamental expenses for shifting phase, as shunt converter will provide the current $I_c$, considering the compensating path is at around the centre of the network, by maintaining $X_S$ is roughly equal to $X_R$. 
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At the shunt connection, bidirectional converter of PEV is connected with the network and controlled the firing angle, $\alpha$ and modulating amplitude $m$.

The PEV battery current, $i_{b}$ is given by (2), that for the shunt PEV is

$$i_{b} = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \frac{V_{sh} \sin(\varphi)}{E_{cell}} - i_{b} \right]$$

SOC is responsible to set the operating point of battery current and two different PI controllers regulate the currents. $i_{m\max}$ and $i_{m\min}$ as shown in Fig. 4 [8]. $E_{sh}$ and $E_{dq}$ determine therefore the amplitude and firing angle, which can be expressed by

$$m = (K_{m}(E_{off} - E_{c}) - m)/T$$

$$\delta = K_{\delta}(E_{off} - E_{c})$$

$$0 = K_{\delta}(E_{off} - E_{c}) + x_{q} - \alpha$$

where $E_{c} = \sqrt{E_{sh}^2 + E_{dq}^2}$.

**Fig. 4 Shunt converter control**

To control the series converters of the CVUPFC, generalised output variables $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are used to determine the voltages, $V_{sh}$ and $V_{dq}$. The proportional and integral gains for both series and shunt converter controllers are given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Proportional and integral gain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series converter $S$</th>
<th>Series converter $R$</th>
<th>Shunt converter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_{p1} = 0.004$</td>
<td>$K_{p2} = 0.004$</td>
<td>$K_{p1} = 0.004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{i1} = 5.0$</td>
<td>$K_{i2} = 5.0$</td>
<td>$K_{i1} = 5.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{g1} = 0.0017$</td>
<td>$K_{g2} = 0.0017$</td>
<td>$K_{g1} = 0.0017$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{q} = 0.4363$</td>
<td>$K_{q} = 0.0017$</td>
<td>$K_{q} = 0.0017$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5 Decoupled PQ controller for series converter**

Series converter’s dynamic equations are [6]

$$\dot{s}_{1} = K_{s} \left( \frac{2P_{ref}}{V_{ref}} - I_{sd} \right)$$

$$I_{sd} = x_{1} - K_{sd} + K_{s} \left( \frac{2P_{ref}}{V_{ref}} - I_{sd} \right)$$

$$\dot{s}_{2} = K_{2} \left( \frac{2Q_{ref}}{V_{ref}} - I_{dq} \right)$$

$$I_{dq} = x_{2} - K_{dq} + K_{q} \left( \frac{2Q_{ref}}{V_{ref}} - I_{dq} \right)$$

where $\omega$ is the fundamental frequency base in rad/s with all other variables in per unit. $I_{min}$ is the minimum current, $p_{ref}$ is the active reference power and $q_{ref}$ is the reactive reference power, as shown in Fig. 5 [6].

**Simulation results:** The system of Fig. 2 has been simulated considering the total line reactance, $X_{L} + X_{C} = 0.275$ p.u. assuming that 1.0 p.u. power is transmitted across the line with the voltage angle $\delta = 17.4^\circ$. In the phase-shifting problem, it is assumed that the voltage angle between $V_{c}$ and $V_{b}$ is $\delta = 60^\circ$. Fig. 6 shows the response of the transmitted real power, $P$, to a ‘step’ command. Reactive powers $Q_{s}$ and $Q_{h}$ are set at zero to identify the response properly and the aim is fulfilled by ensuring the currents and voltages are in phase. The freedom of control is utilised to keep the centre-node voltage, $F_{C}$, real power $P$ and reactive powers at both ends ($Q_{s}$, $Q_{h}$) constant before and after the transient.

**Fig. 6 Voltage, current, real and reactive powers response to step command**

**Conclusion:** This Letter proposes and models a CVUPFC based on decoupled PQ control using V2G technology with PEV. The ability of the CVUPFC to maintain power quality under a step change in power has been justified through time domain simulations. As this research is a fundamental step to design this virtual FACTS device, simple but reliable controllers are used, hence a variety of intelligent controllers can be used to improve and compare the performances of CVUPFC. Implementation of these devices in smart grid or microgrid environment could be an interesting research topic in future.
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