



Food and Agriculture  
Organization of the  
United Nations

VOLUME 6

# RECARBONIZING GLOBAL SOILS

CASE  
STUDIES

A technical manual  
of recommended  
management  
practices



FORESTRY,  
WETLANDS,  
URBAN SOILS





**VOLUME 6**

# **RECARBONIZING GLOBAL SOILS**

**CASE  
STUDIES**

**A technical manual  
of recommended  
management  
practices**

An illustration at the bottom of the cover shows a cross-section of soil. The top layer is dark brown soil with green grass blades growing from it. Below the surface, a network of light brown roots spreads across the soil. The background of this section is a light, textured grey.

**FORESTRY,  
WETLANDS,  
URBAN SOILS**

**Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations  
Rome, 2021**

## Required citation:

FAO and ITPS. 2021. *Recarbonizing global soils: A technical manual of recommended management practices. Volume 6: Forestry, wetlands, urban soils – Case studies*. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb6605en>

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-134899-4

© FAO, 2021



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode/legalcode>).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: “This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original [Language] edition shall be the authoritative edition.”

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules> and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

**Third-party materials.** Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**Sales, rights and licensing.** FAO information products are available on the FAO website ([www.fao.org/publications](http://www.fao.org/publications)) and can be purchased through [publications-sales@fao.org](mailto:publications-sales@fao.org). Requests for commercial use should be submitted via: [www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request](http://www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request). Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to: [copyright@fao.org](mailto:copyright@fao.org)

# Contents

Forestry	1
1. Soil fertility improvement of nutrient-poor and sandy soils in the Congolese coastal plains	4
2. Afforestation of a former farmland in Japan	14
3. Forest conservation through community management in the hill and plain areas of Nepal	26
4. Soil organic carbon stocks in forests of Singapore	44
5. Reforestation of highlands in Javor Mountain, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina	53
6. Natural afforestation of abandoned mountain grasslands along the Italian peninsula	62
7. Afforestation of vineyards in Italy	79
8. Afforestation by planting in bench terraces: Kalimanska watershed, Grdelica gorge, Southeastern Serbia	90
9. Conservation of degraded forests of central and western Spain	99
10. Straw mulch and biochar application in recently burned areas of Algarve (Portugal) and Andalusia (Spain)	108
Wetlands	118
11. Management of rice straw in Mediterranean wetlands, Spain	121
12. Conservation agriculture in intensive rice-based cropping systems in the Eastern Gangetic Plain	133
13. Long term fertilization in a subtropical floodplain soil in Bangladesh	148
14. Organic rice cultivation with internal nutrient cycling in Japanese Andosols	157
15. Conservation tillage to tackle smog issue and improve carbon sequestration in rice-wheat cropping system in Pakistan	167
16. Water regimes in rainfed rice-paddies in Indonesia and Thailand	180
17. Mangrove restoration in abandoned ponds in Bali, Indonesia	186
18. Management of common reed ( <i>Phragmites australis</i> ) in Mediterranean wetlands, Spain	194

19. Preserving soil organic carbon in prairie wetlands of Central North America	202
20. Biomass from reeds as a substitute for peat in energy production in Lida region, Grodno oblast, Belarus	213
21. Sphagnum farming for replacing peat as horticultural growing media, Lower Saxony, Germany	220
<b>Urban soils</b>	<b>230</b>
22. Carbon storage in soils built from waste for tree plantation in Angers, France	233
23. Urban agriculture on rooftops in Paris, France - the T4P research project ( <i>Pilot Project of Parisian Productive Rooftops</i> )	241
24. Organic amendments for soils rehabilitation of open-pit mines in Spain	257
25. Urban forestry effects on soil carbon in Leicester, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	267
26. Urban agriculture in Tacoma, Washington, United States of America	273
27. Soil organic carbon in forested and non-forested urban plots in the Chicagoland Region, United States of America	282
28. Compost application to restore post-disturbance soil health in Montgomery County, Virginia, United States of America	289
29. Management of ornamental lawns and athletic fields in California, United States of America	299
30. Water and residues management on a golf course, Nebraska, United States of America	307
31. Maintenance of marshlands in urban tidal wetlands in New York City, United States of America	315

# 13. Long term fertilization in a subtropical floodplain soil in Bangladesh

Mohammed A. Kader<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mohammed J. A. Mian<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed M.R. Jahangir<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Agric. and Food Techn, Alafua Campus, The University of the South Pacific, the Independent State of Samoa

<sup>2</sup>Soil science Department, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup>Land Management Group, College of Science, Health, Engineering and Education, Murdoch University, Australia

## 1. Related practices

Crop rotations, Chemical fertilization, Cattle manure application, Rice paddy management

## 2. Description of the case study

A long-term field experiment was established on a young floodplain soil at Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) in the Field Lab of the Department of Soil Science, Mymensingh in 1978 with an annual rice (*Oryza spp.*)-rice-pulse crop rotation to evaluate the influence of different fertilizer application on soil fertility and crop productivity. It involves different mineral fertilizer treatments including one with farmyard manure (FYM) and control (control, 100%N, 100%NP, 100%NPK, 100%NPKSzn and 50% N +FYM). Crop rotation and fertilizer rate were adjusted over time based on the current practices and crop demand (Mostofa *et al.*, 2015; Islam *et al.*, 2019a, 2019b). The rate of fertilizer application was updated based on crop requirement in 1982 and 2013 and initial FYM was changed to 50% N+FYM in 1982 and later further changed to 100% NPK + FYM in 2013 (Table 53).

Three crops were cultivated each year during 1978-1982. Rice was grown in two seasons: Transplant Aus (local) (March-June) and T. Aman (July-November), with a leguminous pulse (grass pea sown in the dry winter season: December- February). No fertilizer was applied for grass pea as it is grown as a relay crop with Aman and sown on standing Aman crop before harvest.

With the availability of irrigation facilities and high yielding varieties (HYV), rice was cultivated in two growing seasons from 1983 onwards following a cropping pattern of Boro rice (irrigated winter rice transplanted on mid-January and harvested mid-May)-Fallow-T. Aman (Kader *et al.*, 2017; Begum *et al.*, 2018a). Leguminous

pulse was omitted from the yearly cropping pattern to accommodate irrigated Boro rice, as both are cultivated in the same time of the year.

Current application rates of N, P, K, S, and Zn are 180 (120+60), 18 (14+4), 87 (58+29), 14 (8+6) and 1 (1+0) kg/ha/yr, respectively applied as urea, triple super phosphate, potassium chloride, gypsum, and zinc oxide (Mostofa *et al.*, 2015). Cow dung was mixed with rice straw applied once a year 10-15 days prior transplantation of Boro rice at a rate of 5 t/ha fresh material (1-1.5%N, 0.3-0.4%P and 1-1.5%K). The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with three replications (12m × 6m) (Photo 33).

**Table 53.** Fertilizer dose and cropping pattern at BAU long-term field experiment and its modification over time

Nutrient element	Dose (kg/ha)						
	1978–1982			1983–2012 (T. Aman)		2013 (Boro)–till date	
	T. Aus	T. Aman	Grass pea	Boro rice	T. Aman	Boro rice	T. Aman
N	60	60	-	90	80	120	60
p	20	20	-	20	20	14	4
K	15	15	-	19	19	58	29
S	-	-	-	30	30	8	6
Zn	-	-	-	5	5	1	-
FYM	15 000	15 000	-	5 000	-	5 000	-

### 3. Context of the case study

Floodplain is the dominant soil physiography of Bangladesh and represents 80 percent of soil area (9.7 million ha) (Brammer, 1996). The study area has sub-tropical humid climate and is characterized by hot and humid summers and cool winters with an annual mean temperature of 25.8 °C and rainfall of 2 427 mm, 80 percent of which falls between May to September (Begum and Kader, 2018). Floodplain soils are very fertile and intensively cultivated area in Bangladesh and have a cropping intensity of more than 200 percent (Uddin *et al.*, 2019). However, at the beginning of green revolution in Bangladesh during 1970s, farmers did not apply fertilizers or manure to supplement the nutrients taken up by crops, if applied very sporadically and only little N fertilizer. Considering this situation, agricultural scientists were afraid that this intensively cultivated soil would be degraded soon and would be showing different nutrient deficiency symptoms. Thus, a long-term experiment was established in 1978 at Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) farm at Mymensingh (24°43' N, 90°25' E), Bangladesh on a loamy, mixed, non-acidic Aeric Haplaquept to demonstrate the effect of balanced fertilization on crop productivity and soil fertility to farmers and policy makers (Mian *et al.*, 1991; Egashira *et al.*, 2005; Kader *et al.*, 2017).



## 4. Possibility of scaling up

Findings of this experiment have been used for formulation of national fertilizer guide particularly for floodplain soils of Bangladesh. In addition, good fertilizer practices adapted here in this long-term field experiment for maintaining better crop productivity and soil fertility are promoted throughout the region.

## 5. Impact on soil organic carbon stocks

This 42-year long-term experiment has been running on a loamy, mixed, non-acidic Aeric Haplaquept under tropical wet climate in Bangladesh. Soil organic carbon (SOC) has mostly doubled from initial 7.3 g/kg soil to 14.9-17.0 g/kg depending on treatments including control. However, more SOC build up was observed in NPK and NPK + FYM treatments compared to the others. As a result, higher crop yields were also recorded in these two treatments. The highest accumulation rate of SOC was calculated around 0.51 t/ha/yr in NPK treatment (

Table 54). SOC build up in NPK + FYM treatment was lower than the NPK treatment because only 50 percent N and no P and K fertilizer was applied in this treatment until 2013. It was also observed that the accumulated SOC in NPK treatment was more stable and less prone to de-composition if present crop management has been changed. Balanced fertilization, particularly K might contribute to the formation of stable organic carbon in plant root system that latter added to soil. This high accumulation of SOC even in control treatment might be related with practicing yearly double rice cropping pattern that kept the field wet around nine months of a year. This anaerobic environment favors SOC accumulation by producing large aquatic biomass as well as reducing the decomposition rate. In addition, obtaining a substantial yield (2-3 t/ha) continuously in control treatment indicates that there are other N sources supporting plant growth other than the inputs through fertilization and atmospheric deposition, and mineralization of residues and SOM. This N could be supplied by microbial N fixation, blue green algae (BGA) or other aquatic biomass (*Azolla*, weeds) that grow in the standing water with the main crop and during the fallow period (Begum *et al.*, 2018a).

**Table 54.** Carbon storage potential of yearly double rice cropped soil under different long-term nutrient management

Treatments	Baseline C stock (tC/ha)	Additional C storage (tC/ha/yr)	More information
Control	13.4 (0.73%)	0.44	Aquatic biomass helps SOC accumulation
N (100% N)		0.43	-
NP (100% N & P)		0.44	-

Treatments	Baseline C stock (tC/ha)	Additional C storage (tC/ha/yr)	More information
NPK (100% N, P & K)		0.51	Under NPK management SOC increased to 1.61%
NPKSZn (100% N, P, K, S & Zn)		0.44	S and Zn fertilizer was applied later since 1982
NPK+FYM (100% N, P & K+ FYM @ 5 t/ha/yr)		0.46	100% P & K and 50% additional N was applied later since 2013

## 6. Other benefits of the practice

### 6.1. Benefits for soil properties

#### Physical properties

Over time the plough pan become thicker due to continuous puddling for cultivation of two wetland rice per year which reduces the percolation loss of irrigation water. In addition, bulk density of the surface soil declined due to accumulation of SOC.

#### Chemical properties

Soil pH and available phosphorous content remain mostly stable over time. However, a sharp decline of available K (mostly half) was observed in all the treatments. The depletion of available K was remarkable particularly in treatments without K fertilizer application. Soil total N content was also doubled like SOC that varied from 1.60 gN/kg (control) to 1.78 gN/kg (application of NPK) (Kader *et al.*, 2017, Islam *et al.*, 2019a). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) also increased mostly in balanced fertilized treatments (NPK and/or NPK+ FYM) due to accumulation of more SOC.

#### Biological properties

Better soil respiration and enzyme activities were observed in balanced fertilized treatments (Islam *et al.*, 2019b).

## 6.2 Minimization of threats to soil functions

Table 55. Soil threats

Soil threats	
<b>Nutrient imbalance and cycles</b>	Available P remained stable over time while there is a significant increase of soil total N in balanced fertilizer treatments. However, available K was declined sharply in all treatments though it was slightly low where K fertilizer was applied.
<b>Soil salinization and alkalization</b>	No salinity and alkalinity were developed in any treatment.
<b>Soil acidification</b>	Soil pH remains stable in all the treatments.
<b>Soil sealing</b>	No soil sealing was observed.
<b>Soil compaction</b>	Density of surface soil decreases due to SOC accumulation.

## 6.3 Increases in production (e.g. food/fuel/feed/timber/fiber)

Crop yields increased by 30-40 percent in balanced fertilized treatments over the time compared to other treatments (Mostofa *et al.*, 2015).

## 6.4 Mitigation of and adaptation to climate change

Due to sequestration of more C in balanced fertilized treatments over time will indirectly reduce GHG (Begum *et al.*, 2018a, 2018b).

## 6.5 Socio-economic benefits

As the balanced fertilized plots provide 30-40 percent higher yield and maintain soil fertility better, thus it is economically much profitable.

## 7. Potential drawbacks to the practice

### 7.1 Tradeoffs with other threats to soil functions

Table 56. Soil threats

Soil threats	
Soil contamination / pollution	There could be some soil contamination. However, it was not studied yet.

### 7.2 Increases in greenhouse gas emissions

Overall net GHG mitigation 2 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/ha/yr (equivalent to 0.6 tCeq/ha/yr) achieved when estimated with a 100-year global warming potential for CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in NPK treatment under double rice cropping system (Begum *et al.*, 2018a; 2018b).

## 8. Recommendations before implementing the practice

This long-term field experimentation shows that balanced fertilizer application with NPK and/or NPK+ FYM based on soil nutrient status and crop requirement maintains crop productivity and soil fertility as well as increases soil organic carbon under rice-rice cropping pattern in subtropical soils of Bangladesh. Thus, farmers are encouraged to apply balanced fertilizer along with 5 t/ha well decomposed organic fertilizer once a year if available for maintaining their crop productivity and soil fertility.

## 9. Potential barriers for adoption

**Table 57.** Potential barriers to adoption

Barrier	YES/NO	
Biophysical	No	No biophysical barriers.
Cultural	No	There are no cultural barriers nowadays though earlier few people thought that quality of product deteriorates due to fertilization.
Social	No	There are no social barriers.
Economic	Yes/No	Sometimes farmers did not apply balanced fertilizer as the price of P and K containing fertilizers are higher than that of N containing fertilizer as government provide high subsidy on N fertilizer.
Institutional	No	Government and other agricultural research organization promote balanced fertilization practices.
Legal (Right to soil)	No	There are no legal barriers for adopting the practice.
Knowledge	Yes	Some farmers are still do not know the importance of balanced fertilization, thus there is still a knowledge gap.

## Photos



Photo 33. Experimental layout showing all the unit plots (top) and growing rice crop (bottom)

## References

- Begum, S.A. & Kader, M.A.** 2018. Intercropping short duration leafy vegetables with pumpkin in subtropical alluvial soils of Bangladesh. *South Pacific Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, 36(1): 27-35. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SP18004>
- Begum, K., Yeluripati, J., Kader, M.A., Smith, P., Kuhnert, M., Parton, W. & Ogle, S.** 2018a. Soil organic carbon sequestration and mitigation potential in a rice cropland in Bangladesh - a modelling approach. *Field Crop Research*, 226: 16-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.07.001>
- Begum, K., Kuhnert, M., Yeluripati, J., Ogle, S., Parton, W., Kader, M.A. & Smith, P.** 2018b. Model based regional estimates of soil organic carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation potentials from rice croplands in Bangladesh. *Land*, 7 (82): 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land7030082>
- Brammer, H.** 1996. *The Geography of the Soils of Bangladesh*. University Press Limited, Red Crescent Building, 114 Motijheel C/A, P.O. Box 2611, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh.
- Egashira, K., Han, J., Satake, N., Nagayama, T., Mian, M.J.A. & Moslehuddin, A.Z.M.** 2005. Field experiment on long-term application of chemical fertilizers and farmyard manure in floodplain soil of Bangladesh. *Journal of Faculty of Agriculture Kyushu University*, 50: 861-870.
- Islam, M.M., Hossain, M.F., Mia, M.M., Islam, M.S., Bhuiyan, M.S.H., Talukder, J.A. & Kader, M.A.** 2019a. Long-term fertilization effect of organic carbon and total nitrogen on floodplain soil. *International Journal of Advanced Geosciences*, 7(2): 139-141.
- Islam, M., Kader, M.A., Hossain, M.S., Bhuiyan, S.C., Talukder, J.A., Rahman, M.M. & Ahmed, F.** 2019b. Effect of long term fertilization on soil respiration and enzyme activities in floodplain soil. *International Journal of Research in Agronomy*, 2(2): 29-34.
- Kader, M.A., Yeasmin, S., Solaiman, Z.M., De Neve, S. & Sleutel, S.** 2017. Response of hydrolytic enzyme activities and N mineralization to fertilizer and organic matter application in two long-term subtropical paddy field experiments. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 80: 27-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2017.03.004>
- Mian, M.J.A., Blume, H.P., Bhuiya, Z.H. & Eaquab, M.** 1991. Water and nutrient dynamics of a paddy soil of Bangladesh. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde*, 154:93-99.
- Mostofa, B., Raihan, M.Z., Hossain, M.F., Farhana, T., Mia, M.M. & Kader, M.A.** 2015. Effects of long-term mineral fertilization and manuring on rice-rice cropping pattern in sub-tropical floodplain soil. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 1(2): 222-229.
- Uddin, M.J., Hooda, P., Mohiuddin, S., Smith, M. & Waller, M.P.** 2019. Land Inundation and Cropping Intensity Influences on Organic Carbon in the Agricultural Soils of Bangladesh. *Catena*, 178: 11-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.03.002>